

Measurements of the properties of the Higgs boson



Serguei GANJOUR

CEA-Saclay/IRFU/SPP, Gif-sur-Yvette, France



ICPPA, Moscow October 6, 2015





With the discovery of a Higgs boson the SM is now complete!



- \blacksquare **DM** points to new type particle
- \blacksquare **BAU** requires \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L} processes
- Neutrino mass suggests sterile or Majorana neutrino
- Indirect searches through precision measurements (rare processes)
 - many BSM models predict
 - $\Delta g_{HXX}/g_{HXX} \leq 1-10\%$
 - Is Higgs potential $\lambda(\mu)$ as expected? (check consistency)

Everything proves that NP must exist, but... At What Energy Scales?

The SM begins to unravel when probed much beyond the range of current accelerators (unstable vacuum at the Plank scale!)







SM is self-consistent model accounting all PP phenomena at energy of current accelerators \blacksquare with $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{H}}$ all parameters of SM are known $\mathbf{w} \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{W}}$ is a fundamental parameter of the SM $\mathbf{m}_W = \sqrt{\frac{\pi \alpha}{G_F \sqrt{2}}} \frac{1}{\sin \theta_W \sqrt{1 - \Delta R}}$ Radiative corrections $\Delta R \sim 4\%$: $\Delta R \sim m_t^2 \qquad \Delta R \sim \log m_H$ $m_W = 80385 \pm 15$ MeV, $m_t = 173.2 \pm 0.9$ GeV current p-value for (data|SM)=0.2



Precision tests of further consistency of the SM are mandatory!





Extremely successful operation of the LHC for these 3 years

- IN■ Started with 7 TeV collisions
- Image: Second state of the second state of
- Realize Available dataset for the analyses with all subdetectors on
 - \blacksquare 7 TeV: \leq 5.1 fb⁻¹
 - \blacksquare 8 TeV: \leq 19.6 fb⁻¹
 - high detector efficiency

LHC restarted in 2015 with a collision energy of $\simeq 13$ TeV

CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp



 $\sqrt{s}=8$ TeV: 25-30% higher cross section than 7 TeV at 125 GeV Higgs boson mass













Image: Particle Flow algorithm:

- provides a global event description in form of list of particles
- improvements in jet, τ and E_T^{miss} measurement

Remarkably improves reconstruction performance at high PU

\blacksquare Excellent performance of the CMS experiment in 2012

- 90% of recorded data for physics (all subdetectors on)
- peak luminosity $7 \times 10^{33} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ at 8 TeV CM energy
- \blacksquare mean pile-up (PU) 21 events







Gluon fusion (GF) and Vector Boson Fusion (VBF) are the two most copious Higgs production processes at LHC





GF and VBF provide wealth of information on its couplings to bosons and fermions today





Very rich mass region but also very challenging...

- S decay modes exploited: $\gamma\gamma, ZZ, WW, \tau\tau, bb$
- Set mass resolution decay modes (~1%): $\gamma\gamma$, ZZ
- $^{\rm I\!S\!S}$ Also includes searches in ${\rm H} \to {\rm Z}\gamma$ and ${\rm H} \to \mu^+\mu^-$

Decay	Exp. Sign.	σ_M/M
	at 125.7 GeV	
$H \!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$	3.9	1-2%
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$	7.1	1-2%
$H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 2I2\nu$	5.3	20%
$H{ ightarrow}bb$	2.2	10%
$H{\rightarrow}\tau\tau$	2.6	10%





Five Main Channels









Solid signal in 3 bosonic decay channels and fermionic final states starting to build up excess



S.Ganjour

Higgs Properties at CMS



Characterization of the Signal: Mass





Reference Likelihood scan for m_X and μ : relative yields are defined by the SM allow for free cross sections in five channels and fit for the common mass

 $m_X = 125.02 \pm 0.27 ({
m stat}) \pm 0.15 ({
m syst}) ~{
m GeV}$





 $\sigma/\sigma_{
m SM} = 1.00 \pm 0.09 ({
m stat}) \pm 0.08 ({
m theo}) \pm 0.07 ({
m syst})$





Tensor structure of the Higgs sector (J^{CP} numbers) can be best probed by angular analysis

- Allow assessing the individual terms in a generic parameterization of the Lagrangian
- INTERPORT IN TERMS IN TERMS INTERPORT IN TERMS INTERPORT IN TERMS INTERPORT IN TERMS INTERPORT INTERPO
- ${\tt I\!S\!S}$ The decay amplitude for a ${\bf spin-0}$ boson

$$A=v^{-1}\epsilon_1^{*\mu}\epsilon_2^{*
u}(\mathbf{a}_1g_{\mu
u}M_X^2+\mathbf{a}_2q_{1\mu}q_{2
u}+\mathbf{a}_3\epsilon_{\mu
ulphaeta}q_1^lpha q_2^eta)$$

- \blacksquare SM-Higgs \rightarrow ZZ,WW:
 - → $\mathbf{a_1} \neq 0$, $\mathbf{a_2} \sim O(10^{-2})$, $\mathbf{a_3} \sim O(10^{-11})$
- \blacksquare SM-Higgs $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$:
 - $ightarrow \mathbf{a}_1 = -\mathbf{a}_2/2
 eq 0$
- BSM pseudo-scalar Higgs



 $<sup>ightarrow \mathbf{a}_3
eq 0</sup>$





Spin and CP-parity hypotheses are discriminated by angular analysis

Spin-0 and 2 are only allowed by H→ $\gamma\gamma$ (Landau-Yang theorem)

The data disfavours the $0^ (2_m^+)$ hypothesis with 99.98% (99%) CL



The observation is well compatible with SM Higgs expectations (0^+)







Extracting Higgs couplings requires assumptions at LHC

$$\sigma {\cal B}(ii o H o ff) \sim {\Gamma_{ii} \Gamma_{ff} \over \Gamma_H} = \sigma_{SM} \cdot {\cal B}_{SM} {k_i^2 \cdot k_f^2 \over k_H^2}$$

- Total width $\Gamma_{\rm H} \propto k_H^2$ is not measurable (zero width approximation!)
 - \implies assumed $k_H = \Sigma k_i B R_i$, for i in SM
- Estimate Higgs boson couplings into "Vectorial" and "Fermionic" sets:
 - → *γγ* is the only channel that is sensitive to *k_V* or *k_F* relative sign
 → possible to sort out degeneracy









Compatibility with the SM Higgs Boson Couplings



No significant deviations from the SM Higgs boson are found so far





In the SM $\Gamma_{\rm H} \sim 4.1 {\rm MeV}$ for $m_{\rm H} = 125 {\rm GeV}$

 \blacksquare Direct measurement exploits m_{4l} and $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ spectra

- \blacksquare limited by experimental resolution (1-2%)
- \blacksquare $\Gamma_{\rm H} \leq 1.7~{
 m GeV}$ at 95% CL (exp. 2.3 GeV)

Real A lower bound on the Higgs width from its lifetime

- $^{\rm m}$ measure displacement vertex between H production and decay in $\rm H \to ZZ \to 4l$
- $ightarrow \Gamma_{
 m H} \geq 3.5 imes 10^{-3} \ {
 m eV}$ at 95% CL

Indirect limit through off-shell production

- $\stackrel{\text{\tiny IDP}}{\longrightarrow} \sigma_{\rm off-shell} \sim g_g^2 g_V^2 \text{ does not depend on} \\ \text{ total width } \Gamma_{\rm H} \text{ as } \sigma_{\rm on-shell} \text{ does}$
- assume the on-shell and off-shell couplings are the same
- $ightarrow \Gamma_{H} \leq 22 \text{ MeV}$ at 95% CL (exp. 33 MeV)







[HIG-15-012 (new)]





Consistent with global coupling fit: $BR_{inv} < 0.52 \ at \ 95\% \ CL$

ggH-tagged

VH-tagged

VBF-tagge

S.Ganjour

to 5% level to $\mathrm{BR}_{\mathrm{inv}}$ at HL-LHC





The exploitation of the full potential of the LHC is the highest priority of the Energy Frontier in both Europe and US **New LHC / HL-LHC Plan**



- $^{\mbox{\tiny ISP}}$ LHC approved running to deliver $300~{\rm fb}^{-1}~{\rm by}~2022$
- Post LS3 operation (Phase II) at $L = 5 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 3000 fb^{-1} over 10 years
- See Major upgrades required on the LHC (replace more than 1.2 km):

- Experiments will undergo a series of detector and trigger upgrades
 - to cope with radiation damage and high pileup (140 PU events)
 - to maintain or enhance the current physics performance



HL-LHC as Higgs Factory



HL-LHC is the benchmark Higgs factory

(a couple of Higgs per sec)

- INPLIES MOST of the exclusive final states are accessible
 - $\implies \mathbf{20K} \ \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZZ} \rightarrow \mathrm{4l}$
 - \blacksquare 30K H $\rightarrow \mu\mu$
 - \blacksquare 50 H \rightarrow J/ $\psi\gamma$

Channel	σ , pb	Rate, Hz	Events,	Events ,
		$L{=}50 \text{ pb}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$	$L=3ab^{-1}$	$L=30 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$
	(14 TeV)	(14TeV)	(14TeV)	(8TeV)
GF	50.4	2.52	150M	600K
VBF	4.2	0.21	13M	48K
WH	1.5	0.08	4.5M	21K
ZH	0.9	0.04	2.6M	12K
ttH	0.6	0.03	1.8M	4K

HL-LHC enable to probe most of the couplings including direct ttH observation



Theoretical uncertainties affects the ultimate precision achievable by LHC experiments (2-5%). Reducing them it is for sure worth the effort!

diphoton mass [GeV]







LHC potential to probe 3 generations
a few % precision for 3rd generation
→ Higgs decays to fermions (ττ, bb)
access to 2nd generation fermions
→ possibly test lepton universality: σ_{H→ττ}/σ_{H→μμ} = (m_τ/m_μ)²
1st generation is out of LHC reach
→ g_{Hµµ}/g_{Hee} ≈ 200
→ 1 H → ee event is expected

Many alternative models can be probed via the 1nd and 2nd generations







LHC restarted in 2015 with a collision energy of \simeq 13 TeV and 25 ns bunch spacing

- $\stackrel{\texttt{IS}}{=} \text{CMS can ultimately record about} \\ 100 \text{ fb}^{-1} \text{ of data for next 3 years}$
- INS detector for RUN2: improvements during long shutdown LS1
 - **DAQ and HLT:** computers, trigger
 - tracker/pixel: cold operation
 - **HCAL:** new photosensors
 - **muon:** 4th station
 - mew luminosity telescopes
 - mew beam pipe

 $\sqrt{s}=14$ TeV: 2.6 (4.7) higher cross section than 8 TeV for GF and VBF (ttH) (grow background too)







The discovery of a Higgs boson completed the SM, but major questions remain

- $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \hbox{\tiny ISS}}{=} \hbox{\footnotesize Vigorous update of the main Higgs results with the full RUN1 statistics} \\ 20(8~{\rm TeV}){+}5(7~{\rm TeV})~{\rm fb}^{-1} \hbox{\ is available at CMS} \end{aligned}$
- The boson that we found looks rather "standard" scalar at first sight
 - me fermionic final states starting to build up excess
 - \blacksquare data disfavor the pseudo-scalar 0^- and spin-2 hypotheses
 - couplings are in agreement within uncertainties with the SM predictions
 - on and off-shell Higgs boson production bound the Higgs "width" under specific model-dependent assumptions
 - search for invisible Higgs decays, key indicator for NP, is straightforward
- IHC is a main source of information and will continue to drive our initial observations in the coming years
 - we vast Higgs physics program ahead that will profit from a HL-LHC phase
 - precision on Higgs properties are expected to be pinned down to a few percent

A new challenging program has just started at LHC 13 TeV RUN2





Backup





Effect of New Physics on couplings:

$$\Delta \mathrm{g}_\mathrm{HXX}/\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{HXX} \leq 5\% imes (rac{1~\mathrm{TeV}}{\Lambda})^2$$

 \bowtie SUSY model modifies tree level couplings and predicts largest effect for b and τ

 $rac{k_{b, au}}{k_{b, au}^{SM}}\simeq 1+40\% {\left(rac{200~{
m GeV}}{{
m m_A}}
ight)}^2$

Loop induced couplings are modified due to a scalar top-partner contribution as

$$rac{k_g}{k_g^{SM}} \simeq 1 + 1.4\% \Big(rac{1~{
m TeV}}{{
m m_T}}\Big)^2, \ rac{k_\gamma}{k_\gamma^{SM}} \simeq 1 - 0.4\% \Big(rac{1~{
m TeV}}{{
m m_T}}\Big)^2$$

■ Compositness models reduce couplings according to compositness scale ($\xi^{SM} = 0$)

$$rac{k_V}{k_V^{SM}} = \sqrt{1-\xi}, \; rac{k_f}{k_f^{SM}} = rac{1-(1+n)\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi}}, \; n=0,1,2$$

Best Fit Predictions $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ $h \rightarrow ZZ$ $h \rightarrow WW$ $h \rightarrow gg$ CMSSM high mass CMSSM low mass NUHM1 LHC HL-LHC ILC TLEP 🔲 SM unc. Higgs WG -15 -10 -5 0 5 10 15 $(BR-BR_{SM})/BR_{SM}(\%)$

