Image: Ward of the second conductor avalanche detectors for calorimeters in future HEP experiments



CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE

V. Kushpil, A. Kugler, O.Svoboda, S. Kushpil, P. Tlustý, V. Mikhaylov, V. Ladygin The International Conference on Particle Physics and Astrophysics 5-10 October 2015

PSD and **ZDC**



60 sandwiches in one module 16 inner modules of 10 x 10 x 120 cm³ 28 outer modules of 20 x 20 x 120 cm³ Total weight ~ 17 tons, 17-25 m from target No beam hole for intensity up to 2x10⁵ ions/sec NA61 beam energy up to 150 AGeV



60 sandwiches in one module 16 modules of 5 x 5? x 120 cm³ Total weight ~ 10 tons, 28 m from collision estimate Beam hole (10x10 cm) for intensity up to 1x10⁹ ??? ions/sec NICA beam energy up to $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 11 \text{GeV}?(\sim E_{beam} = 63 \text{ AGeV})$

Neutrons – new factor

The PSD detector from CBM will be used to explain basic goals of program:

From geometry of PSD we can see that neutrons is most important type of particles which will define radiation hardness of APD.



The simulation show that this is true supposed

Distributions of the neutron flux (n/cm²/s) through the PSD calorimeter at radius 10, 30 and 50 cm

FLUKA simulation results: Flux near the beam hole after 2 months of CBM run at the beam rate 10^8 ions/s: 10^{12} n/cm2 for E_{beam}(Au) 4 AGeV $4x10^{12}$ n/cm2 for E_{beam}(Au) 35 AGeV



BM experimental facility with the muon detectio system



Cyclotron U120M(fast neutrons)



V.Kushpil for WG3, CERN, February 2012

Cyclotron U120M(p + Be)



Cyclotron U120M(p + D_2O)



Monitoring of absorbed dose





Presented in October 2010 (Rome)

International Conference on Environmental Radioactivity – New Frontiers and Developments

Monitoring of absorbed dose



What method to investigation?

C-V give information about internal structure

I-V give information about generation-recombination processes

- C-F give information about life time of minority and carriers concentration in P-N junction
- Q-T (transient effect) give information about live time of minority carriers

More interesting information is knowledge about dynamics minority carriers as basic of signal into detectors. But we need simple and understandable model... []

While we used common methods for C-V and I-V data analysis, we used a new method of C-F da Explanations. Dynamical process describing variation of nonequilibrium carriers due to recharge depicted in [9]. Here it is presumed that measured capacitance C and geometrical capacitance are For the measured capacitance C being bigger than geometrical one, variation of nonequilibrium with lifetime T is described by following equation:

$$\frac{\partial \Delta n}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{e} \cdot \frac{\partial J_n}{\partial x} + \frac{\Delta n}{\tau} \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{C} (f) = \frac{1}{e} \cdot \frac{\langle \tau \rangle}{\langle N_t \rangle} \cdot \Delta \varphi \cdot f$$

KETEK, ZECOTEK

Ketek and Zekotek APDs were irradiated by neutrons with equivalent dose for 1MeV neutrons [4]: 1 Zekotek APD irradiated with dose 3.4±0.2x10¹² n/cm² (T = 22±0.5 °C)

2 Ketek APDs irradiated with dose 2.5±0.2x10¹² n/cm² (T = 22±0.5 °C)



Self-annealing almost restores the initial state in 4 days after irradiation

 I_{dark} increased in ~10° times after irradiation. Self-annealing: I_{dark} decreased a bit in a week time after irradiation.

 N_{traps} with $\tau_t > 0.5 \ \mu s$ decreased N_{traps} with $\tau_t < 0.5 \ \mu s$ increased

HAMAMATSU



HAMAMATSU



Conclusion

Radiation hardness is depend on technology.

New methods must be used to understanding of processes inside SiPM New methods of monitoring of neutrons fluence must be used.

Next step:

Improve method of investigation and analysis of data More precision measurement neutron fields:

- thermal neutrons;
- profile of field;
- gamma rays;