

Aleksei Popov (NRC "Kurchatov Institute" - IHEP, Protvino) on behalf of the DØ Collaboration

# The New Results from Multi-quark Exotic States Searches at DØ Experiment



IV International Conference on Particle Physics and Astrophysics October 26, 2018

# **Multi-quark States**



~20 multi-quark states were observed since 2003 with high significance. Important examples of four-quark states: X(3782) $\rightarrow$ J/ $\psi \pi \pi$ , Z<sub>c</sub>(4430) $\rightarrow \psi$ (2S) $\pi$ , X(4140) $\rightarrow$ J/ $\psi \phi$ ; pentaquarks: P<sub>c</sub>(4380) $\rightarrow$ J/ $\psi$  p, P<sub>c</sub>(4450) $\rightarrow$ J/ $\psi$  p.

Recent review: Olsen, Skwarnicki, Zieminska, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 015003 (2018)



### Four-quark states

Meson-meson molecule – two white states loosely bound by a pion exchange. Compact tetraquark – diquark-antidiquark pair connected by color forces.

## Evidence for $B_s \pi$ state, $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi(1020)$

V.M. Abazov et al (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 022003 (2016)



A. Popov (NRC "Kurchatov Institute" - IHEP, Protvino)





#### Systematic uncertainties

Background shape description, background reweighting, B<sub>s</sub> mass scale (MC and data), detector resolution and missing neutrino effect, P-wave Breit-Wigner.

#### Significance with systematics

without  $\Delta R$  cut: 3.4 $\sigma$ with  $\Delta R$  cut 3.2 $\sigma$ 

## Production ratio of X(5568) to B<sub>s</sub>

 $\rho(X(5568)/B_s) =$ 7.3<sup>+2.8</sup><sub>-2.4</sub>(stat)<sup>+0.6</sup><sub>-1.7</sub>(syst)%

#### **Comparison with hadronic channel**

	Semileptonic		Hadronic (from Ref. [15])	
	Cone cut	No cone cut	Cone cut	No cone cut
Fitted mass, $MeV/c^2$	$5566.4^{+3.4}_{-2.8}$	$5566.7^{+3.6}_{-3.4}$	$5567.8 \pm 2.9^{+0.9}_{-1.9}$	5567.8
Fitted width, $MeV/c^2$	$2.0^{+9.5}_{-2.0}$ $^{+2.8}_{-2.0}$	$6.0^{+9.5}_{-6.0}{}^{+1.9}_{-4.6}$	$21.9 \pm 6.4^{+5.0}_{-2.5}$	21.9
Fitted number of signal events	$121^{+51}_{-34}$	$139^{+51}_{-63}^{+11}_{-32}$	$133\pm31\pm15$	$106 \pm 23(\text{stat})$
Local significance	$4.3\sigma$	$4.5\sigma$	$6.6\sigma$	$4.8\sigma$
Significance with systematics	$3.2\sigma$	$3.4\sigma$	$5.6\sigma$	
Significance with LEE + systematics			$5.1\sigma$	$3.9\sigma$

# Results in semileptonic channel are compatible with those in hadronic channel within uncertainties.

## Simultaneous fit to hadronic and semileptonic channels

$\sum_{i=1}^{250} D0 \text{ Run II, 10.4 fb}^{-1}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{300} D0  $	a) a 300 300 250 200 200 100 100 5.9 0 300 200 0 300 200 0 300 0 300 0 300 0 300 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	D0 Run II, 10.4 fb <sup>-1</sup> $\downarrow \downarrow $	(b) Semileptonic Data Hadronic Data $\downarrow \downarrow $
	Cone	cut	No cone cut
Fitted mass, $MeV/c^2$	$5566.9^{+3.2}_{-3.1}$ (sta	$t)^{+0.6}_{-1.2}(syst)$ 5565.	$8^{+4.2}_{-4.0}(\text{stat})^{+1.3}_{-2.0}(\text{syst})$
Fitted width, $MeV/c^2$	$18.6^{+7.9}_{-6.1}$ (stat)	$^{+3.5}_{-3.8}(\text{syst})$ 16.3	$^{+9.8}_{-7.6}(\text{stat})^{+4.2}_{-6.5}(\text{syst})$
Fitted number of hadronic signal events	$131^{+37}_{-33}$ (stat)	$^{+15}_{-14}(\text{syst})$ 99	$^{+40}_{-34}(\text{stat})^{+18}_{-33}(\text{syst})$
Fitted number of semileptonic signal events	$147^{+42}_{-37}(\text{stat})$	$^{+17}_{-16}(\text{syst})$ 111.	$7^{+46}_{-39}(\text{stat})^{+20}_{-38}(\text{syst})$
$\chi^2/\mathrm{ndf}$	94.7/(10	(0-6)	54.2/(50-6)
<i>p</i> -value	$2.2 \times 1$	$0^{-14}$	$1.9 \times 10^{-8}$
Local significance	7.60	5	$5.6\sigma$
Significance with LEE	6.90	5	$5.0\sigma$
Significance with LEE + systematics	6.70	5	4.7σ

#### Significance increases with addition of semileptonic sample

Published: V.M. Abazov et al (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 97, 092004 (2018)

## **World comparison**

Analysis	Production ratio ( B <sub>s</sub> / X(5568) )	Reference
D0 (J/ψ φ)	8.6 ± 1.9 ± 1.4%	PRL 117,022003(2016)
<b>D0 (μ D</b> <sub>s</sub> )	<b>7.3</b> <sup>+2.8</sup> -2.4 <sup>+0.6</sup> -1.7%	PRD 97, 092004 (2018)
LHCb	< 2.4% (p <sub>T</sub> (B <sub>s</sub> <sup>0</sup> ) > 10 GeV)	PRL 117,152003 (2016)
CMS	< 1.1% (p <sub>T</sub> (B <sub>s</sub> <sup>0</sup> ) > 10 GeV)	PRL 120, 202005 (2018)
ATLAS	< 1.5% (p <sub>T</sub> (B <sub>s</sub> <sup>0</sup> ) > 10 GeV)	PRL 120, 202007 (2018)
CDF	< 6.7% (2.3 ± 1.9 ± 0.9%)	PRL 120, 202006 (2018)

LHC experiments do not observe X(5568), but CM energy is rather different. CDF results is in  $\sim 2\sigma$  tension with DØ, kinematic selections vary substantially. Without theoretical model for X(5568) production and decays it is hard to compare various experiments quantitatively.

# A search for Z<sub>c</sub>(3900) at DØ experiment



 $Z_c^{\pm}(3900)$  was discovered in 2013 by Belle and BESIII in the process:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Y(4260) \rightarrow Z_c^{\pm}(3900) \pi^{\mp},$  $Z_c^{\pm}(3900) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^{\pm}.$ 

Y(4260): two interfering resonances  $\psi(4260)$  and  $\psi(4360)$  (BESIII).

- Which component decays to  $Z_c^{\pm}(3900)$ ?
- Are there decays

 $H_b \rightarrow Y(4260) \left( \rightarrow Z_c^{\pm}(3900) \pi^{\mp} \right) + anything$ 

 ${\rm H}_b \to Y(4260) + anything, Y(4260) \to {\rm Z}_c^\pm(3900) \ \pi^\mp, \ {\rm Z}_c^\pm(3900) \to J/\psi \ \pi^\pm$ 

- 10.4 fb<sup>-1</sup> of  $p\overline{p}$  data at 1.96 TeV.
- J/ψ+2tracks, p<sup>1</sup><sub>T</sub> > 1 GeV, p<sup>2</sup><sub>T</sub> > 0.8 GeV, opposite charge.
- Veto  $K^* \to K\pi(\pi K), \phi \to KK, \gamma$  conversion.
- Displaced vertex:  $L_{xv}(J/\psi \pi^{\pm})/\sigma(L_{xv})>5$ ,  $IP_{xv}(\pi^{\pm})/\sigma(IP)>2$

• Vertex fits:  $J/\psi \pi^{\pm} \chi^2 < 10$ , adding extra pion  $\delta \chi^2 < 6$ .

• Select events with displaced  $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$  vertices,  $L_{xy}$  distribution has a slope consistent with B hadron decays lifetime.

•  $4.1 < M(J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-) < 5.0 \text{ GeV}.$ 



## Systematic uncertainties:

Mass calibration, mass resolution, background shape (different degrees of Chebyshev polynomials), bin size, signal model (different Breit-Wigner forms), natural width variations. Fit to data Background parametrization: Chebyshev polynomials. Signal parametrization: S-wave Breit-Wigner smeared with resolution (17 MeV).  $\Gamma$  fixed to PDG value (28.2 MeV). 4.2< M(J/ $\psi$   $\pi^+\pi^-$ ) < 4.7 GeV.

## Results

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M_{x}} &= \mathbf{3895.0} \pm \mathbf{5.2}(\textit{stat})^{+4.0}_{-2.7}(\textit{syst}) \\ & \text{MeV,} \end{split}$$

 $N_{ev} = 505 \pm 92(stat) \pm 64(syst).$ 

Local significance: 5.60

Significance with systematics: 4.6  $\sigma$ 





Since  $Z_c(3900) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi$  and  $B_d^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^*$  have the similar topology and efficiencies, they are cancel out in the ratio

 $\frac{N(Z_c(3900) \to J/\psi \pi)}{N(B_d^0 \to J/\psi K^*)} = 0.085 \pm 0.019$ 

Belle Collaboration did not see a significant signal from  $Z_c(3900)$  in  $\overline{B}_d^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \ \pi^+ K^-$ . In our case the mass spectrum for  $J/\psi \ \pi^+$ also show no indication of the  $Z_c(3900)$  $(5.15 < M(J/\psi \ \pi^+ K^-) < 5.4 \ GeV$ , no  $K^*$ ) Upper limit on the ratio to the  $B_d^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \ K^*$ process of 0.015 (at 90% CL) is obtained.



- We confirm production of X(5568) state in proton-antiproton collisions at 1.96 TeV with an independent data set with semileptonic decay of B<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub> meson.
- X(5568) properties in hadronic and semileptonic channels are consistent.
- Combined significance of X(5568) state observation in these two channels is 6.7σ.
- DØ observed  $Z_c^{\pm}(3900)$  exotic state decaying to  $J/\psi \pi^{\pm}$  in proton-antiproton collisions at 1.96 TeV with 4.6 $\sigma$  significance.
- Measured mass of  $Z_c^{\pm}(3900)$  is  $M_x = 3895.0 \pm 5.2(stat)_{-2.7}^{+4.0}(syst)$  MeV consistent with Belle and BESIII measurements.
- Ratio  $\frac{N(Z_c(3900) \to J/\psi \pi)}{N(B_d^0 \to J/\psi K^*)} = 8.5 \pm 1.9 \%.$
- Published in Phys. Rev. D98, 052010 (2018).

# **Backup slides**

#### **Background parametrization**

Background distribution is obtained from MC and reweighted to data.

 $F_{bgr}(m) = (C_1 \cdot m + C_2 \cdot m^2 + C_3 \cdot m^3 + C_4 \cdot m^4) \times exp(C_5 \cdot m + C_6 \cdot m^2), \text{ where } m = M - M_{thr}$ 

Several alternative parametrizations of the background were used to model the background for background shape systematics estimation.

#### **Alternative parametrizations**

1.  $F_{bgr}(M) = (C_1 + C_2 \cdot m^2 + C_3 \cdot m^3 + C_4 \cdot m^4) \times exp(C_5 \cdot m + C_6 \cdot m^2),$ where  $m = M \cdot \Delta, \Delta = 5.5 \text{ GeV/c}^2.$ 2.  $F_{bgr}(M) = M \cdot \left(\frac{M^2}{M_{thr}^2} - 1\right)^{C_1} \times exp(C_2 \cdot M),$ where  $M_{thr}$  is a  $B_s \pi$  threshold. 3. Histogram smoothing (one iteration of 353QH algorythm).



## **Systematic uncertainties**

Source	Mass, $MeV/c^2$	Width, $MeV/c^2$	Event yield, events
Cone cut			
(i) Background shape description	+0.7; -0.3	+0.0; -1.0	+0.0; -26.6
(ii) Background reweighting	+0.1; -0.1	+0.4; -0.4	+3.9; -4.2
(iii) $B_s^0$ mass scale, MC simulation and data	+0.1; -0.3	+0.8; -1.0	+5.1; -7.8
(iv) Detector resolution	+0.9; -0.0	+2.7; -1.0	+6.5; -0.0
(v) <i>P</i> -wave Breit-Wigner	+0.0; -0.4	+0.0; -1.0	+0.0; -3.7
(vi) Missing neutrino effect	+1.0; -0.0		
Total	+1.5; -0.6	+2.8; -2.0	+9.1; -28.3
No cone cut			
(i) Background shape description	+0.0; -0.7	+0.7; -2.5	+4.8; -28.0
(ii) Background reweighting	+0.1; -0.1	+0.7; -0.7	+5.0; -5.0
(iii) $B_s^0$ mass scale, MC simulation and data	+0.3; -0.5	+1.0; -1.4	+7.5; -9.6
(iv) Detector resolution	+0.0; -0.5	+1.3; -2.6	+3.7; -6.4
(v) <i>P</i> -wave Breit-Wigner	+0.0; -0.2	+0.0; -2.4	+0.0; -7.0
(vi) Missing neutrino effect	+1.0; -0.0		
Total	+1.0; -1.0	+1.9; -4.6	+10.9; -31.5

**b**2

## **Systematic uncertainties**

Systematic uncertainty	Mass (MeV)	Yield
Mass calibration	+3	< 1
Mass resolution	< 0.1	$\pm 27$
Background shape	$\pm 0.4$	$\pm 53$
Bin size	$\pm 1.1$	$\pm 9$
Signal model	$\pm 2.4$	$\pm 3$
Natural width variation	< 0.1	$\pm 23$
Total (sum in quadrature)	-2.7, +4.0	$\pm 64$

## **Cross-checks**

- Two ranges of  $p_T(\pi)$  from J/ $\psi \pi$  system (>1.5 or < 1.5 GeV).
- Three pseudorapidity ranges ( $|\eta| < 0.9, 0.9 < |\eta| < 1.3, 1.3 < |\eta| < 2.0$ ).
- $Z_c^+$  and  $Z_c^-$ .
- Events in the high  $\chi^2$  tail.
- Various Run II periods.
- $J/\psi$  mass window and sidebands.
- $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$  from  $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$  system (>1.0 or < 1.0 GeV).
- Reversed IP cut on second track (to show predominant non-prompt production).
- Same sign pion pairs from  $J/\psi \pi \pi$  system.

#### All cross-checks provided expected results.



The L<sub>xy</sub> distribution was fitted with  $\sim e^{-L_{xy}/\Lambda}$ 

 $Z_c(3900)$ →J/ψ π: Λ = 0.098 ± 0.030; B<sup>0</sup>→J/ψ K<sup>\*</sup>: Λ = 0.130 ± 0.004. Consistent with each other.

The two  $p_T$  distributions are also similar.