Lepton Flavour Universality tests at LHCb

Pavel Krokovny on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration



Budker INP & Novosibirsk University



Outline:

- Introduction
- LHCb detector & data taking
- $b \rightarrow c \mid v$
- b → s |+|-
- Summary





Lepton Flavour Universality

In the Standard Model (SM) quarks and leptons exist in 3 generations of 2 members each. SM assumes Lepton Flavour Universality (LFU):

- the equal gauge couplings for all 3 generations
- difference is only due to mass

LFU is established in the decay of light mesons, e.g. $\pi \rightarrow \ell \nu$, $K \rightarrow \pi \ell \ell$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \ell \ell$ LEP measurements of decays $W \rightarrow \ell \nu$ and $Z \rightarrow \ell \ell$ confirm LU, however there is some tension in $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$

Some SM extensions include particles that can cause LUV and/or LFV (e.g. LQ, Z') Processes with 3^{rd} generation of quarks and leptons (B and τ) are prominent for LFU violation search:

- Lower experimental constraints
- Stronger couplings to 3rd generation predicted by BSM theories foreseeing LFU violation



LFU in b decays

Tree-level decays $b \rightarrow c\ell v$:

- abundant
- very well known in the SM
- BSM theories predict enhanced coupling with 3rd generation \rightarrow
 - \rightarrow interested in testing τ against μ / e



- forbidden at tree-level in SM
- sensitive to NP contributions in loops









LHCb performance

- Momentum resolution: 0.4 0.6% at 5 100 GeV
- Muon ID efficiency: 97 % with 1-3 % $\pi \,{\rightarrow}\,\mu$ mis-ID probability
- Electron ID efficiency: 90% with 4% h \rightarrow e mis-ID probability
- Kaon ID efficiency: 95% with 5 % $\pi \rightarrow$ K mis-ID probability

LHCb Cumulative Integrated Recorded Luminosity in pp, 2010-2018





Acceptance: $2 < \eta < 5$

1) Commun. 208 35 -42 2) Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30 (2015) 153022



LFU in semileptonic b decays



Measurement of ratios of branching fractions allows to

- cancel |V_{cb}| dependence
- partially cancel out model uncertainties
- reduce experimental systematic uncertanties



- \rightarrow Hadronic uncertainties cancel to large extent in the ratio
- \rightarrow Difference from unity due to different lepton masses

- First deviation from SM was observed by BaBar and Belle
- LHCb performed two independent measurements using $-\tau^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-}\nu_{\tau}\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ [PRL 115 (2015) 111803]
 - $τ^- → π^- π^+ π^- ν_{\tau}$ [PRD 97 (2018) 072013]



$R_{_{D^{\ast}}}$ in muonic τ decays

- τ reconstructed by $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \nu_{\tau} \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$
- Both channels have the same final state ($K\pi\pi\mu$)
- Separation using three kinematic parameters:
 - $\geq E_{\mu}^{*} = E_{\mu} \text{ in } \overline{B}^{0} \text{ rest frame}$

$$\sim m_{\rm miss}^2 = (p_{\rm B0} - p_{\rm D*} - p_{\mu})^2$$

$$q^2 = (p_{B0} - p_{D^*})^2$$

• Approximate p_{B0} using > \overline{B}^0 flight direction

$$(p_{B0})_{z} = m_{B}/m_{reco} (p_{reco})_{z}$$





$R_{_{D^{\ast}}}$ in muonic τ decays

- Yields are extracted with a 3D binned ML fit in E_{u}^{*} , m_{miss}^{2} , q^{2}
- Templates for the signal, normalization and backgrounds are obtained on MC and checked against control samples



- $R_{D*} = 0.336 \pm 0.027$ (stat) ± 0.030 (syst) 2σ above SM
- Main background: Partially reconstructed and mis-ID decays
- Main systematic: Size of the simulated sample

Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 111803 (2015)



R_{D^*} in hadronic τ decays

 τ reconstructed by $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ independent from R_{D^*} muonic



- Partial cancellation of experimental systematic uncertainties
- Main background:
 - $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \pi \pi \pi X$, suppressed with τ decay time, t_{τ}
 - B \rightarrow DD_(s)X, suppressed with BDT



$R_{_{D^{\ast}}}$ in hadronic τ decays

• Yields are extracted by a binned ML fit on q^2 , BDT and t_{τ}



- $R_{D^*} = 0.291 \pm 0.019 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.026 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.013 \text{ (ext)}$ 1 σ above SM Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 181802 (2018)
- Main systematic: Size of the simulated sample
- LHCb average: $R_{D^*} = 0.310 \pm 0.016$ (stat) ± 0.022 (syst) 2.2 σ above SM



R_{D^*} results



- Measurements of R_{D} and R_{D*} are consistent with each other
- Combined result is 3.8σ above SM prediction



SM prediction of $R_{_{J\!/\!\psi}}$

h

Test of LFU in $b \rightarrow c\ell v$ decays with a different spectator quark using large B⁺_c sample available at LHCb

$$R_{J/\psi} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi \mu^+ \nu_{\mu})} \stackrel{\text{SM}}{\in} [0.25, 0.28]$$

Interval is due to form factor uncertainty [PLB 452 (1999) 129] [arXiv:hep-ph/0211021] [PRD 73 (2006) 054024] [PRD 74 (2006) 074008]

Lattice calculation is in progress

 \overline{c}



 $R_{J/\psi}$ results

τ reconstructed by $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \nu_{\tau} \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ Analysis strategy as in $R_{D^*} + t_{\tau}$ as 4th discriminating variable





LFU tests in $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$



Use double ratio to reduce systematic effects:

$$R_{H} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to K \ \mu^{+} \mu^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B \to K \ (J/\psi \to \mu^{+} \mu^{-}))} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to K \ (J/\psi \to e^{+} e^{-}))}{\mathcal{B}(B \to K \ e^{+} e^{-})}$$

Measurement of R_{κ^*}

LHC





- Most precise measurement to date
- Compatible with BaBar and Belle
- Statistically limited by the electron sample
- BIP [EPJC 76 (2016) 440] CDHMV [JHEP 04 (2017) 016] EOS [PRD 95 (2017) 035029] flav.io [EPJC 77 (2017) 377] JC [PRD 93 (2016) 014028] BaBar [PRD 86 (2012) 032012]
- Belle [PRL 103 (2009) 171801]





 $R_{\kappa(*)}$ global fit



- Combination of $R_{_{K^*}}$, $R_{_K}$ and [PRL 118 (2017) 111801] is ${\sim}4\sigma$ from SM
- $b \rightarrow s\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ BR and angular obs. are in agreement with LFU tests
- Considered together the tension with SM further increases



Prospects for LFU tests at LHCb

LHCb aims to perform complementary LFU tests:

- b \rightarrow c ℓv transitions:
 - $R_{\Lambda^*},\,R_{_{Ds}}$, $R_{_{Ds}*}$ and others
- b \rightarrow u ℓv transitions:

−
$$R_{pp}^{-}$$
 = B(B⁺ → ppτ ν) / B(B⁺ → ppμν) and others

• b \rightarrow sll transitions:

– R_{Ks} , R_{K*+} , R_{Kππ}, R_{pK}, R_{ϕ}, R_{Λ}, direct fit to $\Delta C_{9}^{\mu,e}$ and others

⇒ Update of R_{K} , R_{K*} , R_{D*} and $R_{J/\psi}$ with Run 2 data is currently on-going. 4 times more statistics: expected improvement on both statistical and systematic uncertainties



Conclusion

- > Tests of LFU in heavy flavour physics present a tension with the SM predictions:
 - 3.4 σ from angular distributions of $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \ \mu^+ \mu^-$
 - Measurements of ratios of branching fractions in both $b \to c \ell \nu$ and $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$
 - 3.8 σ tension in $R_{_D}$ and $R_{_{D^*}}$ when combining BaBar, Belle and LHCb
 - 2.5 σ below SM prediction in $R_{_{K(*)}}$ at central q^2
- → Anomalies in both $b \rightarrow c\ell v$ and $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ decays could be described with same New Physics models
- LHCb continue testing the LFU hypothesis. Please stay tuned!



Backup



Angular analysis of $B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$

NP models which explain the observed discrepancies in the measurement of R(K(*)) w.r.t SM predictions, foresee anomalous behaviors also in the angular distribution of the decay $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$

Decay amplitude can be described using q^2 and three angles: θ_1 , θ_K , ϕ :





Decay amplitude of $B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$

$$\frac{1}{d(\Gamma+\bar{\Gamma})/dq^2} \frac{d^4(\Gamma+\bar{\Gamma})}{d\bar{\Omega}dq^2} = \frac{9}{32\pi} [\frac{3}{4}(1-F_L)\sin^2\theta_k + F_L\cos^2\theta_k + \frac{1}{4}(1-F_L)\sin^2\theta_k\cos2\theta_\ell - F_L\cos^2\theta_k\cos2\theta_\ell + \frac{1}{4}(1-F_L)\sin^2\theta_k\cos2\theta_\ell - F_L\cos^2\theta_k\cos2\theta_\ell + S_3\sin^2\theta_k\sin^2\theta_\ell\cos2\phi + \frac{1}{4}A_{FB}\sin2\theta_k\sin2\theta_\ell\cos\phi + \frac{1}{5}\sin2\theta_k\sin2\theta_\ell\cos\phi + \frac{4}{3}A_{FB}\sin^2\theta_k\cos\theta_\ell + S_7\sin2\theta_k\sin\theta_\ell\sin\phi + S_8\sin2\theta_k\sin2\theta_\ell\sin\phi + S_9\sin^2\theta_k\sin^2\theta_\ell\sin2\phi],$$



24



The P_5 ' anomaly

Angular observable:

$$P_5' \equiv S_5 / \sqrt{F_L (1 - F_L)}$$







ATLAS and CMS results on P_5'



ATLAS measurement differs by 2.7σ from the SM prediction CMS results are consistent with SM prediction and other measurements



Measurement of R_{D^*}

- B factories
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow Y(4S) \rightarrow B^+B^-(B^0\overline{B}{}^0)$
 - Reconstruction of other B
 - Clean signal but low efficiency



LHCb

- Large boost, flight direction determined by PV & SV
- Huge B production





$R_{_{D^{\ast}}}$ in hadronic τ decays

Main systematic uncertainties due to:

- Size of simulated sample
- Shape of the background $B \rightarrow D^{*-}D_{s}^{+}X$
- $D_{(s)}^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{+}X$ decay mode. BESII future measurement will reduce it. Improvement as well of the upgraded ECAL
- Branching fraction of normalisation mode $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$ known with ~4% precision. Belle II can measure it precisely



