# STANDARD MODEL MEASUREMENTS BY ATLAS CMS



# Yuri Kulchitsky

JINR, Dubna, Russia On behalf of the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations

6th International Conference on Particle Physics and Astrophysics (ICPPA-2022) Moscow, 29.11.2022 – 02.12.2022

Yuri Kulchitsky, JINR

29.11.2022 ICPPA2022

OR NUCLEAR

# ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-009 SM PRODUCTION CROSS SECTION MEASUREMENTS



ATLAS & CMS: Summary of SM and fiducial production cross-section measurements in **pp** interactions at  $\sqrt{s=5, 7, 8, 13}$  TeV, corrected for branching fractions, compared to the corresponding theoretical expectations

CMS.



# A TOROIDAL LHC APPARATUS (ATLAS)



# **COMPACT MUON SOLENOID (CMS) + TOTEM**





# ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-009 SM PRODUCTION CROSS SECTION MEASUREMENTS @ 13 TEV

**Total Cross-sections** 1.  $pp \rightarrow X$ **Diboson cross sections** 1.  $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + X$ w 2.  $pp \rightarrow 4l + X$  $(\mathbf{z})$ □ WW-boson cross sections 1.  $pp \rightarrow (\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-) + X$ τĒ 2.  $pp \rightarrow W^+W^- + \geq 1 jet + X$ Wt tZj Measurements of the rarest processes ww 1.  $pp \rightarrow Z(vv)\gamma + 2 jets + X$ 2.  $pp \rightarrow Z(ll)\gamma + 2 jets + X$ 3.  $pp \rightarrow WWW + X$ tEW **EW Z-boson cross sections** tĒΖ tĒγ 1.  $pp \rightarrow Z + jet(high-p_T) + X$ WWW 2.  $pp \rightarrow Z + large R - jet + X$ Zjjewk tītī **The b-quark fragmentation** properties Zγjj EWK (in the report of Prof. L.Gladilin) 1.  $pp \rightarrow jet(B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu K^{\pm}) + X$ **Given Search Proton Scattering** 1.  $pp \rightarrow p(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) p^{(*)}$ □ Soft QCD: **Bose-Einstein correlations** 1.  $pp \rightarrow h^{\pm}h^{\pm} + X$ 29.11.2022 ICPPA2022

ATLAS

#### Standard Model Production Cross Section Measurements



**ATLAS:** Summary of SM and fiducial production cross-section measurements in **pp** interactions at  $\sqrt{s} = 5$ , 13 TeV, corrected for branching fractions, compared to the corresponding theoretical expectations and ratio with respect to theory.

# σ<sub>TOT</sub> PP ELASTIC-SCATTERING AT 13 TEV #1 Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019) 785



- ATLAS measurements of elastic scattering can be linked to other processes occurring on hadronic pp-interactions
- $\succ$  Calculation the total pp cross section  $\sigma_{tot}$  & the  $\rho$ -ratio

ATLAS

arXiv:2207.12246

 $\succ$  The  $\rho$ -ratio determines the complex phase between the **Coulomb** and the **nuclear amplitudes** 



 $\succ \sigma_{tot}$  and  $\rho$  incompatible with Community-Standard Semi-Empirical Fits (COMPETE) indicating **Odderon** exchange or a slowdown of  $\sigma_{tot}$  rise at high  $\sqrt{s}$ .

#### ATLAS arXiv:2207.12246

# $\sigma_{TOT}$ PP ELASTIC-SCATTERING AT 13 TEV #2

Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019) 785



measurements and model predictions

as a function of the  $\sqrt{s}$ 

29.11.2022 ICPPA2022

Total inelastic cross section  $\sigma_{tot}$  is in  $\overline{\sigma}$ agreement with previous ATLAS <u>ت</u> 0.28 measurements using MBTS detectors The ratio  $\sigma_{\rm inel}/\sigma_{\rm tot}$ , a measure of the opaqueness of the proton, continues to grow slowly with energy, and its evolution is well described by the Khoze- 0.22 Martin-Ryskin (KMR) model. (This is a two-channel eikonal model with few parameters and it uses all available highenergy data for  $\rho$  and  $\sigma_{tot}$ , as well as the corresponding differential elastic cross sections, and also all available measurements of low-mass diffraction.) The measurement remains far from probing the black-disc limit, i.e. a totally opaque proton

 $\sigma_{\rm el}/\sigma_{\rm tot}$  in tension with the values from **TOTEM** and lower energies

Yuri Kulchitsky, JINR



**Ratio** of elastic to total cross section Measurements of the ratio  $\sigma_{\rm el}/\sigma_{\rm tot}$  at different  $\sqrt{s}$ compared to model predictions and for illustrative purposes the **COMPETE** prediction of  $\sigma_{tot}$  divided by a conventional parameterization of the elastic cross section



# $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} = 31.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ (stat)} \pm 2.4 \text{ (syst) pb}$

The measured integrated cross section compatible with the NNLO predictions and multilegmerged calculations

Fiducial cross section [pb]	$\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$	± unc.
Sherpa MEPS@NLO	33.2	+7.7 -5.6
Nnlojet NNLO	29.7	$^{+2.4}_{-2.0}$
NLO	19.6	$^{+1.6}_{-1.3}$
LO	5.3	$^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$
Diphox NLO	20.8	$+3.2 \\ -2.9$
Data	31.4	2.4

A comparison of the measured cross section with the theoretical predictions shows the importance of higher-order QCD contributions even for such an inclusive  $\gamma\gamma$ 

	η
ATLAS data √s = 13 TeV, 139 fb <sup>-1</sup> ∎syst ∎stat	
Sherpa MEPS@NLO	
NNLOJET NNLO	
NNLOJET NLO +	
NNLOJET LO •	
Diphox NLO 🔶	
0 10 20 30 40	
Integrated fiducial cross section [pb	]



# **DIBOSON** CROSS SECTION MEASUREMENTS



ATLAS

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-009

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsCombined CMS Preliminary 7 TeV CMS measurement (stat.stat+sys) 8 TeV CMS measurement (stat.stat+sys) H+++++ 13 TeV CMS measurement (stat.stat+svs) 5.0 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $1.06 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.12$ 5.0 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $1.16 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.13$ 137 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $1.01 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.05$ 5.0 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $0.98 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05$  $0.98 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.05$ 19.5 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $1.01 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.14$ 4.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> 4.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $1.07 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.09$  $1.00 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.08$ 19.4 fb<sup>-</sup> 35.9 fb<sup>-</sup>  $1.00 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06$ 4.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $1.05 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.06$  $1.02 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07$ 19.6 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $1.00 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.03$ 137 fb<sup>-1</sup> 4.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $0.97 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.07$  $0.97 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.08$ 19.6 fb<sup>-</sup> 137 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $1.04 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.04$ 1.5 Production Cross Section Ratio:  $\sigma_{exp} / \sigma_{theo}$ 

11

CMS

**Dibbson** Cross<sup>2</sup> section ratio comparison to theory: Theory predictions updated to latest NNLO calculations.

ATLAS

(a)

1. CROSS SECTION OF PP->4 LEPTONS+X AT 13 TEV #1 Eur.Phys.J.C 78 (2018) 165 arXiv: 2009.01186 [hep-ex]

# $\ell^+ \geq$ Measurements of *4l* differential & integrated fiducial

cross-sections in events with  $2(e^+e^-)$  or  $2(\mu^+\mu^-)$  pairs

The *4l* final state has contributions from interesting SM processes: 1. Single Z boson production, 2. Higgs boson production, 3. On-shell ZZ production.
 Sensitive to New Physics / BSM contributions:
 Modifications to the couplings of the Higgs or gauge boson, 2. Possible 4-fermion interactions, 3. Models with leptonic decays of Z bosons or 4. new particles.

	k		-		-
reem	em		Region		
Agic	Full	$Z \to 4\ell$	$H\to 4\ell$	Off-shell ZZ	On-shell ZZ
Measured	88.9	22.1	4.76	12.4	49.3
fiducial	±1.1 (stat.)	±0.7 (stat.)	±0.29 (stat.)	±0.5 (stat.)	±0.8 (stat.)
cross-section	±2.3 (syst.)	±1.1 (syst. )	±0.18 (syst. )	±0.6 (syst.)	±0.8 (syst.)
[fb]	±1.5 (lumi.)	±0.4 (lumi.)	±0.08 (lumi.)	±0.2 (lumi.)	±0.8 (lumi.)
	±3.0 (total )	±1.3 (total )	±0.35 (total )	$\pm 0.8$ (total )	±1.3 (total )
Sherpa	86±5	23.6±1.5	4.57±0.21	11.5±0.7	46.0±2.9
Powheg + Pythia8	83±5	21.2±1.3	4.38±0.20	10.7±0.7	46.4±3.0
					10

Contributions to the  $pp \rightarrow 4\ell$  ( $\ell = e, \mu$ ) process:

 $Z^{(*)}$  /-

0000

(b

0000

- (a) t-channel  $qq \rightarrow 2Z^{(*)}/\gamma^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$  production,
- (b) gluon-induced  $gg \rightarrow 2Z^{(*)}/\gamma^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$  production via a quark loop,
- (c) internal conversion in Z boson decays  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z^{(*)}/\gamma^{(*)} \rightarrow 2\ell + Z^{(*)}/\gamma^{(*)} \rightarrow 2\ell$ ,
- (d) Higgs-boson-mediated s-channel production  $gg \rightarrow H^{(*)} \rightarrow Z^{(*)}Z^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$ .
- > Fiducial cross-sections in fb in the full fiducial phase space and in the following regions of  $m_{4\ell}$ :

(c)

- 1. Z $\to$ 4ℓ (60< $m_{4\ell}$ <100 GeV)
- 2.  $H \rightarrow 4\ell \ (120 < m_{4\ell} < 130 \text{ GeV})$
- 3. off-shell ZZ (20< $m_{4\ell}$ <60 GeV/100< $m_{4\ell}$ <120 GeV/130< $m_{4\ell}$ <180 GeV)
- 4. on-shell ZZ (180< $m_{4\ell}$  <2000 GeV) > Two predictions are shown for the

 $qq \rightarrow 4\ell$  process simulated with Sherpa or Powheg+Pythia8

(d)

 $H^{(*)}$ 

The superscript (\*)

refers to a particle that

can be either on-shell

or off-shell, whereas

\* indicates that it is

always off-shell



- **Differential cross-section as a function of**  $m_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{F}}^{m_{4|}[GeV]}$
- ✤ The measured data are compared with the SM prediction using Sherpa (red) or Powheg+PYTHIA (blue) to model the  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow 4\ell$  contribution.
- \* The *p*-value is the probability for the  $\chi^2$ , with  $k^2$ degrees of freedom.
- > The **SM predictions agree** well within uncertainties over  $m_{4f}$ **spectrum** 29,11,2022 ICPPA2022

\* Differential cross-section as a function of  $m_{12}$  &  $m_{34}$  in the four  $m_{4\ell}$ regions for the contribution from Higgs production.

The same-flavour, opposite-charge pair with an invariant mass closest to  $m_7$  is selected as the primary pair in the event with  $m_{12}$ .

The region dominated by  $Z \rightarrow 4\ell$  production is used to extract the **most precise measurement** of the  $Z \rightarrow 4\ell$  branching fraction to date,

 $B_{Z \to 4\ell} = [4.41 \pm 0.13 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.23 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.09 \text{ (theory)} \pm 0.12 \text{ (lumi)}] \times 10^{-6}$ 

□ The result is **consistent** with previous exp. and with the SM prediction

m<sub>34</sub> [GeV]

 $\gamma\gamma \longrightarrow W^+W^- \longrightarrow e^\pm \nu \ \mu^\mp \nu$ SR:  $n_{trk} = 0 \& p_T^{e\mu} > 30 \text{ GeV}$ for the dilepton system -12 -10  $\underbrace{\mathbf{NOF}}_{11} \rightarrow \underline{W}$ +>1JETS PRODUCTIONS R







1.2

0.8

0.6

Data

The background-only hypothesis is **rejected** with a significance of 8.4 $\sigma(5\sigma)$ The *WW* observation of photon-induced production in *pp* collisions (only evidence was previously reported)  $\sim \sigma^{fid} = 3.13 \pm 0.31 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.28 (\text{syst}) \text{ fb}$ 

> The #estalt free agreement with the theoretical predictions

16

2

Without additional tracks from hadronic activity

and from close-by pileup interactions

з

Number of reconstructed tracks, n<sub>tr</sub>

## 3. CROSS-SECTION $PP \rightarrow W^{+}W^{+} \geq 1$ JET S + X #1

arXiv:2103.10319 [hep-ex]  $\triangleright$  Fiducial & differential cross-section measurements of  $W^+W^-$  production with  $\geq 1$  jets > It is sensitive to the properties of EW-boson self-interactions; provide a test of pQCD & EW theory **Events are selected** with one  $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$  pair &  $\geq 1$  jets with  $p_{\rm T} > 30$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 4.5$ 



 $\Box$  fixed-order parton-level prediction from MATRIX 2.0 that is accurate to NNLO (NLO) for  $qq \rightarrow WW(Wgg \rightarrow W)$  product

□ prediction that additionally accounts for EW corrections to WW+jet production: calculated with Sherpa 2.2.2+OpenLoops

□ predictions from Sherpa 2.2.2, MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO+Pythia8 with FxFx merging, and Powheg MiNLO+Pythia8, which are all supplemented by a Sherpa 2.2.2+OpenLoops  $gg \rightarrow WW$  LO+PS prediction

29.11.2022 ICPPA2022

[HEP 06 (2021) 003

ATLAS

# > The data agree well with all MC predictions

17



# 3. CROSS-SECTION **PP** $\rightarrow$ *W*<sup>+</sup>*W* + $\geq$ 1 *JETS* + *X* #2



# AREST ELECTROWER Run: 349111 Event: 8146522 1 2018-04-29 05:25:35 CES $\rightarrow LL / JJ \& Z(\rightarrow v) / JJ$ PRODUCTION MET iet →vv)vJJ $Z(\rightarrow vv)\gamma jj$ candidate event: jet $\triangleright$ p<sub>T</sub><sup> $\gamma$ </sup> = 64 GeV, MET $E_{T}^{miss} = 264 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{ii} = 2.6 \text{ TeV}$ 19

# CMS: ELECTROWEAK CROSS SECTION MEASUREMENTS https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsCombined

May 202	22	CI	MS Preliminary	/
CMS	EW measurements vs.	7 TeV CMS measurement (stat,stat+sys) 8 TeV CMS measurement (stat,stat+sys) 13 TeV CMS measurement (stat,stat+sys)		Summary of the cross sections of pure Electroweak (EW)
qqW qqW qqZ qqZ qqZ WV γγ→WW qqWγ		$\begin{array}{c} 0.84 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.18 \\ 0.91 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.93 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.32 \\ 0.84 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.19 \\ 0.98 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.10 \\ 0.85 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.18 \\ 1.74 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.74 \\ \end{array}$	19.3 fb <sup>-1</sup> 35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup> 5.0 fb <sup>-1</sup> 19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup> 35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup> 138 fb <sup>-1</sup> 19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	interactions among the gauge bosons presented as a ratio compared to theory.
qqWγ os WW ss WW ss WW qqZγ qqZγ qqZZ qqZZ All results at:	<pre> +++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++</pre>	$\begin{array}{c} 0.88 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.88 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.15 \\ \pm 0.17 \\ \text{ign (SS) W^+W^-} & 1.12 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.17 \\ 1.12 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.17 \\ 1.20 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.18 \\ 1.20 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.08 \\ 1.20 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.48 \\ 1.20 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.13 \\ 1.46 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.11 \\ 1.19 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.13 \\ \end{array}$	138 fb <sup>-1</sup> 138 fb <sup>-1</sup> 19.4 fb <sup>-1</sup> 19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup> 19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup> 137 fb <sup>-1</sup> 137 fb <sup>-1</sup> 137 fb <sup>-1</sup> 5 $\sigma_{exp} / \sigma_{theo}$	JHEP 06 (2020) 076 Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2021) 105

List of publication: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSMP



# ATLAS-CONF-2021-038 ELECTROWEAK $PP \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow E^+E^-, \mu^+\mu^-)\gamma JJ+X$ PRODUCTION #1

The cross-section of the EW production: sensitivity to the gauge boson self-interactions
 Improved constraints probe scales of new physics in the multi-TeV range and provide a way to look for signals of new physics in a model-independent way



 $\Box$  Events selected with high  $\mathbf{m}_{jj}$  and high  $|\Delta \mathbf{y}_{jj}|$ 

- Centrality used to **control background from** strong *Zγjj* production
- Background from **misidentified photons** estimated in data, background from ttγ validated in data itsky, JINR

Centrality distributions,  $\zeta(l^+l^-\gamma)$ , in Signal Region,  $\zeta(l^+l^-\gamma) < 0.4$ , and Control Region,  $\zeta(l^+l^-\gamma) > 0.4$ , before the fit to extract the EW-Z $\gamma$ jj component is performed. The sum of the signal and the various backgrounds is shown. 22

ζ(llγ)

# ATLAS-CONF-2021-038 ELECTROWEAK $PP \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-) JJJ + X$ PRODUCTION #2

# Post-fit m<sub>ii</sub> distributions in Signal Region (SR) & Control Region (CR)



Eur.Phys.J.C 82 (2022) 105 arXiv: 2109.00925

### ELECTROWEAK $PP \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow vv)\gamma JJ + X$ PRODUCTION: $15 > P_T > 110 \text{ GEV} \#1$





#### **ELECTROWEAK** *PP* $\rightarrow$ *Z***(\rightarrow***vv***)***yJJ* **+ <b>***X* **PRODUCTION:** *E*<sub>T</sub>*y*>150 **GEV** #1 arXiv:2208.12741 [hep-ex]

> The EW production of  $Z(\rightarrow vv)\gamma jj$  with a  $\gamma (p_T > 150 \text{ GeV})$ , is a probe of the EW symmetry breaking mechanism in SM & is sensitive to quartic gauge boson couplings (QGS) via vector-boson scattering (VBS)

Z boson branching ratio  $Z \rightarrow vv$  is larger than the branching ratio  $Z \rightarrow ll$ ; the background is under better control than in the hadronic decay channel

000000

ATLAS

**Feynman diagrams of** electroweak  $\Box$  *Zyjj* production involving the *VBS* subprocess (top left) or

- **non-VBS** subprocesses (top right)
- □ Of **QCD** *Zγjj* production with gluon exchange (bottom left) or
- □ the s-channel *q,q*-qq process (bottom right) 29.11.2022 ICPPA2022

Photon centrality relative to the two jets with the **highest**  $p_{\rm T}$  values in the event is defined as  $\gamma$ -centrality =  $\left|\frac{y(\gamma) - 0.5[y(j_1) + y(j_2)]}{y(\gamma) - 0.5[y(j_1) + y(j_2)]}\right|$ ≥1 lepton Were  $y = 0.5 \times \ln[(E + p_z)/(E - p_z)]$  is the rapidity of the objects ( $p_z$  is the z-component of the momentum of a particle) Fiducial region definition Selections Cut value > 120 GeV > 150 GeV Number of isolated photons  $N_{\nu} = 1$  $E_{\rm T}^{\rm cone40} < 0.022 p_{\rm T} + 2.45 \text{ GeV}, p_{\rm T}^{\rm cone20} / p_{\rm T} < 0.05$ Photon isolation  $N_{\text{iets}} \ge 2$  with  $p_{\text{T}} > 50$  GeV Number of jets Overlap removal  $\Delta R(\gamma, \text{jet}) > 0.3$  $N_{e} = 0, N_{\mu} = 0$ Lepton veto  $|\Delta \phi(\gamma, \vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})|$ > 0.4 $|\Delta \phi(j_1, \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}})|$ > 0.3 $|\Delta \phi(j_2, \vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})|$ > 0.3> 300 GeV Yuri Kulchitsky, JINR  $\gamma$ -centrality

#### **Definition of the** $Z\gamma$ **subregions** y-centrality Zy QCD Wy CR **CR** 2 Zy QCD 0.6 CR 1 Ζv 0 leptons SR inclusive m(jj) [GeV] m(ii) [GeV] 300

□ The signal region (SR) is required to have  $m_{ij} > 300 \text{ GeV}$ and  $\gamma$ -centrality < 0.6, where  $m_{ii}$  is defined as the invariant mass of the **2jets** with the highest values of  $p_T$  $\Box$  The Zy QCD CR 1 requires events with  $m_{ij} < 300 \text{ GeV}$ ; it is used to estimate the  $Z(\nu\nu)\gamma jj$  QCD background yield The  $Z\gamma$  QCD CR 2 has the same selection criteria as the **SR** but requires events with *y*-centrality > 0.6; it is used to check for possible  $m_{ii}$  mismodelling. The values of the requirements are chosen to maximise the number of events and the purity of the targeted process in each region 26

### arXiv:2208.12741 [hep-ex] ELECTROWEAK $PP \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow vv)\gamma JJ + X$ PRODUCTION: $E_T^{\gamma} > 150 \text{ GEV} \#2$

ATLAS



## **SM PRODUCTION CROSS SECTION MEASUREMENTS**





**Electroweak** *VVjj* production has been observed in all major channels They are amongst the **rarest processes** currently experimentally accessible

ATLAS

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-009

# MET OBSERVATI PRC



Run: 349169 Event: 1043374730 2018-04-30 01:58:32 CEST  $MET e^{+} \approx WWW \rightarrow e^{+}v e^{+}v \mu^{-}v candidate event$  $E_{T}^{miss} = 105 \text{ GeV}$ 

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-009 VBF, VBS & TRIBOSON CROSS SECTION MEASUREMENTS

ATLAS



VBF, VBS, and	Triboson Cross Section Measure	ments Status: February 2022	L dt Reference	<b>Experimental Status</b>
<i><i><i><i>γγγ</i></i></i></i>	σ = 72.5 ± 0.5 ± 9.2 b (data)		20.2 PLB 781 (2018) 55	<b>1.</b> Evidence for WVV from ATLAS
$Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell\ell\gamma\gamma$	" = 5.07 + 0.73 - 0.68 + 0.42 - 0.39 fb (data) ATLAS Preliminary		20.3 PRD 93, 112002 (2016)	
$-[n_{jet} = 0]$	if = 3.48 + 0.61 - 0.56 + 0.3 - 0.26 fb (data) MCFM NLO (theory)		20.3 PRD 93, 112002 (2016)	$\Box WWW \rightarrow lv  lv  qq  (l=e,\mu)$
$W\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell \gamma\gamma\gamma$	$\sqrt{s} = 6.1 \pm 1.1 - 1 \pm 1.2 \text{ (bits)}$ MCFM NLO (theory) $\sqrt{s} = 7,8,13 \text{ TeV}$		20.3 PRL 115, 031802 (2015)	$\Box WWW \rightarrow lv lv lv$
$-[n_{jet} = 0]$	r = 2.9 + 0.8 - 0.7 + 1 - 0.9 fb (data) MCFM NLO (theory)		20.3 PRL 115, 031802 (2015)	$\square WWZ \rightarrow b a a ll$
WWy→evµvy	7 = 1.5 ± 0.9 ± 0.5 to (data) VBPNLO+CT14 (dLC) (berry)		20.2 EPJC 77 (2017) 646	$\Box WWZ \rightarrow W qq u$
WWW, (tot.)	nLO GCD (hery) r = 20 + 200 + 150 - 100 h (deta)		139 SHXIN:2201_13045	$ \square WWZ \rightarrow l v lv ll $
- WWW->fyfyii	Madgraph5 + al/(C/LD (Herry)     σ = 0.34 + 0.39 − 0.33 ± 0.19 (b (data)		20.3 EPJC 77 (2017) 141	$\Box$ WZZ $\rightarrow$ aallll
$-WWW \rightarrow fyfyfy$	Madgraph5 + aMCNLO (theory) or = 0.31 + 0.35 - 0.33 + 0.32 - 0.35 fb (data)		20.3 EPJC 77 (2017) 141	= 0.00 - 1 (2015 2017 1-4.)
WWZ. (tot.)	or = 0.55 + 0.14 + 0.15 - 0.13 pb (data)		79.8 PLB 798 (2019) 134913	1n <b>80 ID</b> <sup>-</sup> (2015-2017 data)
	r = 4 + 0.3 + 0.3 - 0.4 pb (data) LHC-HXSWG (theory)		139 ATLAS-CONF-2021-053	$\Box$ Evidence 4.1 $\sigma$ (WVV) and 3.2 $\sigma$
HJJ VBF	σ = 2.43 + 0.5 - 0.49 + 0.33 - 0.26 pb (data) LHC-HXSWG YR4 (theory)		20.3 EPJC 76 (2016) 6	
	r = 0.79 + 0.11 − 0.1 + 0.16 − 0.12 pb (data) LHC pp Vs = 13 TeV NNLO QCD and NLO EW (theory)		139 ATLAS-CONF-2021-014	(WWW) PLB 798 (2019) 134913
-n(→www)∭vbr	r = 0.51 + 0.17 - 0.15 + 0.13 - 0.08 pb (data)		20.3 PRD 92, 012606 (2015)	
	r = 65.2 + 4.5 + 5.6 tb (data) Statt UHC-HXSWG (theory) Statt ⊕ syst	•	139 ATLAS-CONF-2019-029	2. New ATLAS result focuses on
– H(→γγ)jj VBF	$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$		20.3 ATLAS-CONF-2015-060	WWWW in Hulltwii and Hull Fultu
	LHC-HXSWG (hear)	•	4.5 ATLAS-CONF-2015-060	<i>wwwww</i> in <i>t-vt -vjj</i> and <i>t-vt 'vt-v</i>
VVJJ EVVK (M(jj) > 1 TeV)	Powteg-Pythia3 NLO (heory)		20.2 EPJC 77 (2017) 4/4	$\Box e^{\pm}e^{\pm}+2i.$
– M(jj) > 500 GeV	Powteg-Pythiat NLC (theory) stat ⊕ syst	•	4.7 EPJC 77 (2017) 474	$\square + + 2$
	Powheg-Pythial NLO (theory) σ = 37.4 + 3.5 + 5.5 to (data) LHC pp √s = 7 TeV		139 EPJC 81 (2021) 163	$\Box e^{\perp}\mu^{\perp}+2j,$
Zjj EWK	r = 10.7 + 0.9 + 1.9 b (data) Data		20.3 JHEP 04, 031 (2014)	$\Box u^{\pm}u^{\pm}+2i$
3	r = 4.49 + 0.4 + 0.42 (b (data)	d l	139 ATLAS-CONF-2021-038	$= \mu \mu + 2j$
Ζγϳϳ ΕΨΚ	r = 1.1 ± 0.5 ± 0.4 tb (data) VBPNLD (theory)		20.3 JHEP 07 (2017) 107	$J \sqcup 3l + E_T$ with no same-flavour
and > 10/10/	r = 3.13 + 0.31 + 0.28 (b (data) MGS_aMCNLO+Pythis8 × Surx. Fact (0.82) (theory)		139 PLB 816 (2021) 136190	opposite-charge lepton pair
$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow vvvv$	σ = 6.9 + 2.2 + 1.4 fb (data) HEPWIG++ (theory)		20.2 PRD 94 (2016) 032011	opposite enarge repton pan
(WV+ZV)jj EWK	if = 45.1 + 8.6 + 15.9 - 14.6 fb (data) Madgraph5 + aMCNLO + Pytha8 (theory)		35.5 PRD 100, 032007 (2019)	$\rightarrow$ strong suppression of VV+jets
W±W±ii FWK	cr = 2,89 + 0.51 − 0.48 + 0.29 − 0.28 fb (data) PowhagBax (theory)		36.1 PRL 123, 161801 (2019)	
	PowhegBox (theory)		20.3 PRD 96, 012007 (2017)	<b>Observation of VVV from CMS</b>
WZII EWK	7 = 0.37 + 0.14 - 0.13 + 0.37 - 0.35 to (data) Sharpa 2.2.2 (theory) r = 0.28 + 0.14 - 0.12 + 0.09 - 0.1 to (data)		36.1 PLB 793 (92019) 469	
77:: EWK	VBPNLD (theory) r = 0.82 + 0.18 + 0.11 (b (data)		20.3 PHD 93, 092004 (2016)	$\Box$ in <b>137 fb</b> <sup>-1</sup> (2015-2018 data)
	Sherpa 2.22 (feory)		139 arXiv:2004.10612	
	0.0 0	5 10 15 20 25 30 35		$\Box 5.7\sigma (VVV), 5.5\sigma (WWW)$
29.11.202	2 ICPPA2022	Yufi Kulchitsky, JiNR		<b>DDI 125 (2020) 151802</b> 30
		data/theory		1  KL 123 (2020) 131002



Postfit BDT output distribution in the e<sup>±</sup>e<sup>±</sup>, e<sup>±</sup>µ<sup>±</sup>, µ<sup>±</sup>µ<sup>±</sup> & 3l channels for improving the separation between signal and background

#### **OBSERVATION OF** $PP \rightarrow WWW + X$ **PRODUCTION** #2 Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 6, 061803 arXiv:2201.13045, ATLAS-CONF-2021-039

0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

Data

BDT output



Pred.

Data

0 0.1

Higher signal purity in 3*l* events compared to  $l^{\pm}l^{\pm}jj$ □ Separate **boosted decision tree** (BDT) training to extract signal: Kinematic and angular variables, combining leptons and  $E_T^{miss}$ 

ATLAS

 $\Box$  Similar validation of BDT modelling as for  $l^{\pm}l^{\pm}jj$ 

The measured cross section, extrapolated to the total phase space:  $\succ \sigma_{WWW} = 820 \pm 100 \text{ (stat)} \pm 80 \text{ (syst) fb}; \sigma_{WWW}^{MC} = 511 \pm 18 \text{ fb}$  $\geq$  2.6 $\sigma$  from the predicted of calculated at NLO QCD and LO EW accuracy Data

γ conv.

Other

Pre-Fit Bkad

**─**WZ

 $SR_{\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}}$ 

□ Largest source of background from WZ+jets

production, constrained in control regions

Other backgrounds are instrumental and

estimated in data (misidentified leptons,  $\gamma$  -

conversions, electron charge misreconstruction)

 $SR_{e_{\mathcal{U}^{t}}^{t}}$ 

 $\Box$  Fit of BDT (SRs) and  $m_{31}$  (WZ CRs)

WWW(µ=1.66)

Non-prompt

Charge-flip

/// Uncertainty

3 CR

#### **OBSERVATION OF** $pp \rightarrow VVV + X$ **PRODUCTION** Phys.Rev.Lett.125 (2020) 151802 arXiv:2006.11191

> The production of *VVV* (*V* = *W*, *Z*) bosons in *pp* collisions at  $\sqrt{s=13 \text{ TeV}}$ 

 $\succ 5 \text{ final states: } W^{\pm}W^{\mp} \rightarrow l^{\pm}l^{\pm}2vqq^{-}, W^{\pm}W^{\pm}W^{\mp} \rightarrow l^{\pm}l^{\pm}l^{\mp}3v, W^{\pm}W^{\mp}Z \rightarrow l^{\pm}l^{\mp}2v \ l^{\pm}l^{\mp}, W^{\pm}ZZ \rightarrow l^{\pm}v2(l^{\pm}l^{\mp}), ZZZ \rightarrow 3(l^{\pm}l^{\mp})$ 



Comparison of the observed numbers of events to the predicted yields after fitting. For the WWW & WWZ channels, the results from the boosted decision trees (BDT) based selections are used. Events with two or more jets are categorized as " $m_{jj}$ -in" or " $m_{jj}$ -out". The expected significance L in the middle panel represents the number of standard deviations with which the null hypothesis (no signal) is rejected; it is calculated for the fit for  $\mu_{comb}$ ? Pulls are the differences in the numbers of observed and predicted events normalized to the uncertainties in the numbers of predicted events.



CMS

	(	Cross section (fb)	
	Treating H	iggs boson contrib	outions as
Process	Signal	В	ackground
VVV	$1010^{+210}_{-200}^{+15}_{-12}$	<sup>50</sup> 3	$70^{+140}_{-130}$
WWW	$590^{+160}_{-150}^{+160}_{-130}^{+160}_{-130}$	1	$90^{+110}_{-100}$
WWZ	$300^{+120}_{-100}{}^{+50}_{-40}$	1	$100^{+80}_{-70}{}^{+30}_{-30}$
JINR WZZ	$200^{+160}_{-110}^{+70}_{-20}$	1	$10^{+100}_{-70}$ $^{+30}_{-10}_{22}$
ZZZ	< 200		< 80 55

# **VECTOR BOSON +X CROSS SECTION MEASUREMENTS**



ATLAS

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-009

The **data/theory ratio** for several single-boson fiducial production cross section measurements, corrected for leptonic branching fractions. All theoretical expectations were calculated at NLO or higher. The dark-color error bar represents the statistical uncertainly.

0

 $\cap$ 

- The lighter-color error bar 0 represents the full uncertainty, including systematics and luminosity uncertainties.
- The luminosity used and 0 reference for each measurement are also shown.
- Uncertainties for the theoretical  $\bigcirc$ predictions are quoted from the original ATLAS papers.
- They were not always evaluated 0 using the same prescriptions for PDFs and scales. 34

# arXiv:2205.02597 CROSS-SECTION $PP \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-) + \geq 1 JET (p_{T,J} \geq 100 \text{ GEV}) + X \# 1$

➤ The  $pp \rightarrow Z + high - p_T jets + X$  provides a way to probe the *interplay between QCD & higher-order EW processes*□ The angular correlations between the *Z* boson and the *closest jet* are performed in events with at  $\geq 1$  jet with  $p_T \geq 500$  GeV



Feynman diagrams for the production of a Z boson in association with **high-** $p_T$ **jets** 

- □ The Z + 1-jet events are expected to populate the backto-back region where the Z boson is balanced against a single high- $p_T$  jet
- □ In *dijet* events, the *Z* boson is expected to be radiated from the quark leg, with kinematics leading *to small values* of the angular distance between the *Z* boson and the closest *jet*,  $\Delta R_{\min Z, j}$  and, therefore, populating the collinear region

29.11.2022 ICPPA2022



Summary of integrated fiducial cross-section results Data are compared with predictions from MC generators  $S_T$  is the scalar sum of the transverse momentum of all selected jets. *High-S<sub>T</sub>* > 600 GeV The collinear is for  $\Delta R^{min}_{Z,j} \le 1.4$   $S_T = \Sigma p_T^{jet} + \Sigma p_T^{l}$ The back-to-back regions is for  $\Delta R^{min}_{Z,j} \ge 2.0$ 

## arXiv:2205.02597[hep-ex] **CROSS-SECTION PP** $\rightarrow$ **Z**( $\rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$ ) + **21JET** + **X** #2



# **CROSS-SECTION** $PP \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-) + LARGE-RADIUS JET + X #1$

- Heavy-flavor partons in the initial state of a hard-scattering process are understood to arise from gluon splittings into bb, cc
   A high-mass new particle decaying into resonances naturally generates high-momentum merged jets, and the high-momentum regime is particularly sensitive to modifications to SM dynamics by new physics
- A large-*R*-jet is required as a proxy for a high-p, hadronically decaying or splitting object (high-energy gluon)

	Inclu	Inclusive		tag
	ee	phil	ee	μμ
$Z$ + $b\bar{b}$	$324 \pm 4$	$305 \pm 4$	163.8 ± 2.6	$157.2 \pm 2.5$
$Z+c\bar{c}$	$536 \pm 10$	$530 \pm 9$	$12.3 \pm 1.8$	$19.3\pm2.0$
Z+bc	$89 \pm 2$	$81 \pm 2$	$14.6 \pm 1.2$	$12.1 \pm 0.9$
Z+ $b$	$2588 \pm 13$	$2423 \pm 12$	$14.8 \pm 1.1$	$12.4 \pm 1.3$
Z+c	$5073 \pm 32$	$4862 \pm 39$	$5.5 \pm 1.3$	$6.9 \pm 1.7$
Z+light	$53808\pm164$	$51206\pm145$	$9.4 \pm 1.1$	$11.1 \pm 1.5$
tī	$5960 \pm 46$	$5204 \pm 43$	$82.7 \pm 5.3$	$75.4 \pm 5.6$
W+jets	$73 \pm 4$	$7 \pm 1$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	< 0.1
Diboson	$2042 \pm 17$	$1834 \pm 16$	$21.5\pm1.4$	$20.7 \pm 1.4$
MC total	70 493 ± 175	$66452 \pm 158$	$324.9 \pm 6.8$	315.1 ± 7.2
Data	66 481	65 0 34	391	384

ATLAS

arXiv:2204.12355

• The 2-tag region was defined as a subset of inclusive with requiring "the large-*R* jet contains exactly two *b*-tagged subjets" (highest- $p_T$  2-tag large-*R* jet in the 2-tag selection)

Subjet separation: the angular separation,  $\Delta R(b, \overline{b})$ , between 2 2b-tagged subjets

Reconstruction-level event-selection yields in the *ee & µµ* channels from each process's MC sample (with Sherpa 2.2.1 used for the *Z*+jets samples) and from collision data
The single-top process was found to make a negligible contribution to all event selections and has been omitted.



Selected reconstruction-level observables, compared with **pre-fit MC simulation** with **Sherpa 2.2.1** used for the *Z*+jets samples: the left shows the inclusive-selection *ee* large-*R* jet  $p_{T}$  and the 2-tag selection *ee* large-*R* jet mass distributions. 37

Multijet backgrounds were estimated to be negligible by a data-driven method

# **CROSS-SECTION** $PP \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-) + LARGE-RADIUS JET + X #2$

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Particle-level differential cross-sections in *the inclusive event selection*. In the legend, "MGaMC" refers to NLO configurations of the MadGraph5 aMC@NLO generator, and "MG" to LO MadGraph, both run in conjunction with Pythia 8. All models are using the "5FNS" refer to the flavor-number scheme used. These are a significant background to important Higgs-boson searches.

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 7, 608 ATLAS arXiv: 2202.02218

# **BEC PARAMETERS VS MULTIPLICITY** #2

Y. Kulchitsky, PCM

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

fit of the  $R_2(Q)$  correlation functions for tracks with  $p_T > 100$  MeV and  $p_T > 500$ MeV at  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV for MB & HMT data. The dependence of the  $R(m_{ch})$  on  $m_{ch}$ . The uncertainties represent the sum in quadrature of the statistical and asymmetric systematic contributions.

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

Comparison with CMS for  $p_{\rm T}$  > 100 MeV<sup>n</sup> and  $|\eta| < 2.4$ . 40

#### EPJ C 78, 110 (2018) BONUS:: MEASUREMENT OF THE W BOSON MASS: 7σ FROM SM PREDICTION arXiv:1701.07240

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

**CDF II Collaboration,** High-precision measurement of the W boson mass with the CDF detector, *PoS* **ICHEP2022** (2022) 898

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

The ATLAS Collaboration has reported a measurement  $\rightarrow$  AT  $M_W = 80370 \pm 7 \text{ (stat)} \pm 11 \text{ (syst)} \pm 1 \text{ (mod. syst)} = 80370 \pm 19 \text{ MeV}$ 

- **CDF II** measure the W boson mass,  $M_W$ , using data corresponding to **8.8 fb<sup>-1</sup>** collected in proton-antiproton collisions at a **1.96 TeV** with the CDF II detector at the Fermilab Tevatron collider. A sample of approximately  $4 \times 10^6$  W boson is used to obtain  $M_W = 80433.5 \pm 6.4(\text{stat}) \pm 6.9(\text{syst}) = 80433.5 \pm 9.4$  MeV. The W bosons are identified using their decays to  $ev \& \mu v$  and the mass is measured by fitting template distributions of transverse momentum and mass:  $m_T = \sqrt{2p_T^\ell p_T^\nu (1 \cos \Delta \phi)}$
- □ A comparison with the SM expectation of  $M_W = 80357 \pm 6$  MeV, treating the quoted uncertainties as independent, yields a difference with a significance of  $7\sigma$ . The suggests are:
  - > the improvements to the SM calculation or
  - of extensions to the SM
- SM result includes the published estimates of the uncertainty (4 MeV) due to missing higher-order quantum corrections and the uncertainty (4 MeV) from other global measurements used as input to the calculation
- ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the WW-boson mass in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector, EPJ C 78, 110 (2018), EPJ C 78, 898 (2018), arXiv:1701.07240; A measurement of the mass of the W boson is presented based on proton–proton collision data recorded in 2011 at a 7 TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, and corresponding to 4.6 fb<sup>-1</sup> of integrated luminosity. The selected data sample consists of  $7.8 \times 10^6$  candidates in the  $W \rightarrow \mu v$ channel and  $5.9 \times 10^6$  candidates in the  $W \rightarrow ev$  channel.

ATLAS/CMS: statistic in Run-2 at 13 TeV is 139/137 fb<sup>-1</sup>
±19 MeV
Statistic is in 15 times higher than at CDG-II

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **CONCLUSIONS**

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Many new SM results with the latest Run 2 dataset by the ATLAS and the CMS Collaborations were published
- Deasurement SM processes cross sections over 15 orders of magnitude
- Comparison of the measurement SM processes to theory predictions
- The most precise measurement of the total cross section pp-interactions
- Evidence or Observation of rare processes
- Observation of the BEC radius saturation for very high multiplicity
- The experiments are well prepared to SM possesses study in Run-3 and beyond
- List of the papers:
- **CMS Collaboration:** https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSMP **ATLAS Collaboration:** https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/StandardModelPublicResults

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2015-May-20 20:51:09:209152 GMT Run / Event / LS: 245155 / 123253393 / 363

# BACKUP SLIDES

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **ATLAS CALORIMETERS**

Lar & TileCal >> Very stable performance
 Improved stability of new Tile power supplies

Good operation efficiency: ~100% for LAr & Tile
LAr using 4 sample readout to achieve 100 kHz

![](_page_44_Figure_4.jpeg)

# ATLAS

# **DIRECT PHOTON/ELECTOR RECONSTRUCTION**

- □ Search for **seed energy clusters** in the EM calorimeter with significant energy
- For  $|\eta| < 2.5$  EM LAr calorimeter is divided into 3 layers in depth, which are finely segmented in  $\eta$  and  $\phi$ ; a thin LAr presampler layer covering  $|\eta| < 1.8$ ;
- Form a cluster from cells in a rectangular region  $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.125 \times 0.1715$  around seed
- ★ Selected in **barrel**  $/\eta^{\gamma}/<1.475$  & **two end-cap** 1.375</ $/\eta^{\gamma}/<3.2$ ;
- Photon identification: classify as electron, photon, or converted photon matching cluster with tracks; use lateral and longitudinal energy profiles of the *photon/electron* electromagnetic shower
- □ Calorimeter isolation in region  $\Delta R_{\gamma\gamma} > 0.4$  around photon with requirement  $E_T^{iso} < 0.05 \times p_T^{\gamma}$
- Converted and unconverted γ-s are calibrated separately use the tracking information to correct the Calorimeter response for upstream energy losses and leakage
- Calculate energy and direction: photon energy a weighted sum of layer energies, with corrections for detector effects
- ✓ Corrected **for pileup** using jet area method
- Use 2D-sidebands for remaining background
- $\bigstar \text{ Remove hadron and } \tau \text{ background}$
- ✓ Smal<sup>49</sup>electron background removed using MC

Yuri Kulchitsky, JINR

Photon Purity

![](_page_45_Figure_15.jpeg)

E<sup>γ</sup><sub>T</sub> [Ge¼δ

PRD 54 (1996) 6680 ELECTROWEAK  $PP \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow LL)\gamma JJ + X \& PP \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow vv)\gamma JJ + X PRODUCTION #2$ 

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **CROSS SECTION OF** $PP \rightarrow \gamma \gamma + X$ **AT** 13 **TEV** #4

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

> Only the merged approach with **multi-leg matrix elements at NLO**, as implemented by Sherpa, and a fixed-order NNLO calculation, as implemented by Nnlojet, give a satisfactory description of the data.

29.11.2022 ICPPA2022

**JHEP 11 (2021) 169** 

Yuri Kulchitsky, JINR

0.8 0.6 0.4

50

100

200

300

400 500 48 p<sub>T,γ1</sub> [GeV]

#### arXiv:2208.12741[hep-ex] Eur.Phys.J.C 82 (2022) 105 COMBINATION OF THE ATLAS MEASUREMENTS

- □ The combination of **EW**  $Z(\rightarrow vv)\gamma jj$  ( $E_T^{\gamma} > 150 \text{ GeV}$ ) & ( $15 < E_T^{\gamma} < 110 \text{ GeV}$ ) production yields an observed (expected) signal significance of 6.3 $\sigma$  (6.6 $\sigma$ )
- □ Limits on *anomalous Quartic Gauge Couplings (aQGC)* are obtained in the framework of *Effective Field Theory* (*EFT*) with dimension-8 operators

The effect of new physics introduced by *aQGCs* can be realised using an *EFT* linearly parameterised by an *effective Lagrangian*:

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_i}{\Lambda^2} O_i + \sum_{j} \frac{f_j}{\Lambda^4} O_{j}$  where  $O_i \& O_j$  are **dimension-6** or **dimension-8** operators induced by integrating of the new degrees of freedom, while  $c_i \& f_j$  represent the numerical coefficients that are meant to be derivable from a more complete high-energy theory. The  $\Lambda$ term is a **mass-dimension parameter** associated with the **energy scale** of the new degrees of freedom that have been integrated out.

□ Having found no significant deviations from SM predictions, the data are used to set limits on anomalous Quartic Gauge Couplings
 □ The limits are set on Effective Field Theory dimension-8 operators (f<sub>T0</sub>/Λ<sup>4</sup>, f<sub>T5</sub>/Λ<sup>4</sup>, f<sub>T8</sub>/Λ<sup>4</sup>, f<sub>T9</sub>/Λ<sup>4</sup>, f<sub>M0</sub>/Λ<sup>4</sup>, f<sub>M1</sub>/Λ<sup>4</sup> & f<sub>M2</sub>/Λ<sup>4</sup>)
 □ These constraints are either competitive with or more stringent than those previously published by CMS

ATLAS

![](_page_48_Figure_8.jpeg)

The  $E_T^{\gamma}$  distribution in the *SR* after the fit in the *CRs*. The red (green) line shows the expected number of events in the case of nonzero *EFT* coefficient  $f_{T 0}/\Lambda^4$  ( $f_{M0}/\Lambda^4$ ), values shown in the legend. 49

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 6, 061803 arXiv:2201.13045, ATLAS-CONF-2021-0

# **OBSERVATION OF** $PP \rightarrow WWW + X$ **PRODUCTION** #3

for the individual and combined channels			
Fit	Observed (expected) significances $[\sigma]$	$\mu(WWW)$	
$e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$	2.3 (1.4)	$1.69 \pm 0.79$	
$e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	4.6 (3.1)	$1.57 \pm 0.40$	
$\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$	5.6 (2.8)	$2.13 \pm 0.47$	
2ℓ	6.9 (4.1)	$1.80 \pm 0.33$	
3ℓ	4.8 (3.7)	$1.33 \pm 0.39$	
Combined	8.0(5.4)	$1.66 \pm 0.28$	

Observed (expected) significances and measured signal strengths

Uncertainty source	$\Delta \sigma / \sigma$ [%]
Data-driven background	5.3
Prompt-lepton-background modeling	3.3
Jets and $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	2.8
MC statistics	2.8
Lepton	2.1
Luminosity	1.9
Signal modeling	1.5
Pile-up modeling	0.9
Total systematic uncertainty	9.5
Data statistics	11.2
WZ normalizations	3.3
Total statistical uncertainty	11.6

Measured signal strength, overall & in individual channels

➤ The measured cross section, extrapolated to the total phase space, is:  $\sigma_{WWW}^{data} = 820 \pm 100 \text{ (stat)} \pm 80 \text{ (syst) fb}$ approximately 2.65 from the predicted cross section of  $\sigma_{WWW}^{MC} = 511 \pm 18 \text{ fb}$ calculated at NLO QCD and LO electroweak accuracy

arXiv:2204.12355 CROSS-SECTION  $PP \rightarrow Z(\rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-) + LARGE-RADIUS JET + X #3$ 

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Particle-level differential cross-sections in** *the 2-tag event selection*. In the legend, "MGaMC" refers to NLO configurations of the MadGraph5 aMC@NLO generator, and "MG" to LO MadGraph, both run in conjunction with Pythia 8. All models are using the "4/5FNS" refer to the flavor-number scheme used.

### $\stackrel{\text{HEP 12}}{\longrightarrow} (2021) \stackrel{\text{131}}{\longrightarrow} \text{B-QUARK FRAGMENTATION PROPERTIES IN JETS: IN THE DECAY } B^{\pm} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} K^{\pm}$

The fragmentation of heavy quarks is a crucial aspect of QCD. Detailed studies and precision measurements of the heavy-quark fragmentation properties allow a deeper understanding of QCD

ATLAS

- □ The fragmentation properties of jets containing *b*-hadrons are studied using decay  $\mathbf{B}^{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}/\psi \mathbf{K}^{\pm}$  in *pp* collisions, with  $\mathbf{J}/\psi \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}\mu^{-}$
- □ The measurement determines the longitudinal and transverse momentum profiles of the reconstructed *B* hadrons with respect to the axes of the jets to which they are geometrically associated.
- This analysis provides key measurements which help to better understand the **fragmentation functions of heavy quarks:**
- Significant differences among different MC models are observed, and also between the models and the data.
- Some of the discrepancies are understood to arise from poor modelling of the  $g \rightarrow bb^-$  splittings, to which the present analysis has substantial sensitivity.
- Including the present measurements in a future tune of the MC g predictions may help
  - to improve the description and reduce the theoretical uncertainties of processes where heavy-flavour quarks a:
     present in the final state, such as top quark pair
     <sup>29</sup> 11,2022 ICPPA2022
     <sup>29</sup> 13,2022 ICPPA2022
     <sup>29</sup> 14,2022 ICPPA2022
     <sup>20</sup> 14,2022 ICPPA202
     <sup>20</sup> 14,20

![](_page_51_Figure_9.jpeg)

Fits to the invariant mass distributions of  $B^{\pm}$  candidates for 0.37<z< 0.44

![](_page_51_Figure_11.jpeg)

Average values of the longitudinal profile  $\langle z \rangle$  and of the transverse profile  $\langle p_T^{rel} \rangle$  as a function of the  $p_T^{jet_{52}}$ 

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

eliminates problems with energy-momentum conservation, topology, resonances, hadronic jets, mini-jets etc. **MC without BEC.** 

Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 7, 608 ATLAS arXiv: 2202.02218

## **BEC PARAMETERS VS MULTIPLICITY** #3

R [fm] ATLAS Vs = 13 TeV ATLAS Vs = 13 TeV  $|\eta| < 2.5, n_{ch} \ge 2$  $|\eta| < 2.5, n_{ch} \ge 2$ 3.5 0.8 0.6 2.5 0.4 MB, p\_ > 100 MeV - Expo fit MB, p\_ > 100 MeV - Expo fit HMT, p\_ > 100 MeV ---- Expo fit HMT, p\_ > 100 MeV ---- Expo fit 0.2 MB, p\_ > 500 MeV ... Expo fit MB, p\_ > 500 MeV ... Expo fit 0.5 HMT, p\_ > 500 MeV -- Expo fit HMT, p\_ > 500 MeV - - Expo fit 0.2 1.2 0.4 0.60.8 1.4 0.2 1.2 0.4 0.8 1.4 0.6k<sub>T</sub> [GeV] k<sub>⊤</sub> [GeV] pp (13 TeV) CMS CMS pp (13 TeV) High-multiplicity  $p_{T} > 200 \text{ MeV}$ High-multiplicity  $p_{T} > 200 \text{ MeV}$ CS o CS 0  $|\eta| < 2.4$  $|\eta| < 2.4$ HCS HCS 1.5 DR OR Ø [tm] 3 Q ٥  $\sim$ Ľ<u>≧</u> 2 Minimum-bias Minimum-bias 0.5 CS CS HCS HCS DR DR 8.2 0.3 <u></u> 8.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.4 ( k\_ ) [GeV]  $\langle k_{\perp} \rangle$  [GeV]

arXiv: 1910.08815 **ATLAS:** The  $k_{\rm T}$  dependence of the correlation strength,  $\lambda(k_{\rm T})$ , and the source radius,  $R(k_{\rm T})$ , obtained from the exponential fit to the  $R_2(Q)$ correlation functions for events with multiplicity  $n_{ch} \ge 2$  and transfer momentum of tracks with  $p_{\rm T}$ >100 MeV and  $p_T > 500$  MeV at 13 TeV for the minimum-bias (MB) and highmultiplicity track (HMT) events. The uncertainties represent the sum in quadrature of the statistical & systematic contributions. The curves represent the exponential fits to  $\lambda(k_{\rm T})$ and  $R(k_{\rm T})$ .

**CMS**: Results for  $R_{inv}$  and  $\lambda$  from the three methods as a function of  $k_T$ . In the lower plots, statistical & systematic uncertainties are shown as error bars and open boxes, respectively

CMS

JHEP03 (2020) 014

# ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-022 COMBINED EFT INTERPRETATION OF WW, WZ, 4L & ZJJ PRODUCTION

- Wilson coefficients of the Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SM EFT) are constrained in a combined fit of differential cross-section measurements of the productions: WW & WZ in leptonic final states, 4 charged leptons, a leptonically decaying Z boson in vector-boson-fusion topology. No significant deviations from the SM expectation are found.
- □ Interpretation of multiboson measurements in the SMEFT
- Expansion of SM Lagrangian in increasing powers of inverse scale of new physics,  $1/\Lambda$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMEFT}} \approx \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}}^{(4)} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_{i}^{(6)}}{\Lambda^{2}} O_{i}^{(6)} + \sum_{j} \frac{c_{j}^{(8)}}{\Lambda^{4}} O_{j}^{(8)}$$

Leading SMEFT effect expected from interference of dim-6 operators with SM:

![](_page_54_Figure_6.jpeg)

**Quadratic term at the same order**,  $O(\Lambda^{-4})$ , as SM + dim-8 interference

□ Focus on operators at dim-6: 33 CP-even operators studied, assuming flavour symmetry and neglecting Higgs

**Combination of several multiboson measurements** 

- > pp → WW → evµv: Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 884 using 36 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- > pp → WZ → Ill'v: Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 535 using 36 fb<sup>-1</sup> □ Sensitive to a large number of dim-6 operators affecting
- **> pp** → **4l**→ **'Ill'l':** JHEP 07 (2021) 005 using 139 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- $\rightarrow$  pp  $\rightarrow$  Zjj  $\rightarrow$  lljj: Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 163 using 139 fb<sup>-1</sup>

□ Higgs-boson production kinematically suppressed:

- □ see ATLAS-CONF-2020-053 for dedicated EFT study
- see ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-010 for a H!WW\* and WW combination

- Measurements with high precision and small background contributions
  - - **a** gauge-boson self-couplings
    - couplings of gauge bosons and fermions
    - □ four-fermion couplings

# ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-022 COMBINED EFT INTERPRETATION OF WW, WZ, 4L & ZJJ PRODUCTION

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

Measured differential cross-sections compared to the SM theory predictions used in this analysis. The  $p_T^{lead.lep.}$  in *WW* production, the *WZ* transverse mass in *WZ* production, the invariant mass of the secondary lepton pair in the three 4*l* analysis regions, the signed azimuthal angle between the two jets in *Z*+*jets* production with a VBF topology.

# ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-022 COMBINED EFT INTERPRETATION OF WW, WZ, 4L & ZJJ PRODUCTION

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

ATLAS

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

Confidence intervals for the 15 parameters included in the combined maximum likelihood fit. Results are quoted both for fits linear in the parameters and for fits that take into account also quadratic contributions. The first case corresponds to a model in which only the  $O(\Lambda^{-2})$  contributions to the cross-section prediction, the interference between SM and dimension-six operators, is included. The latter case also includes quadratic dimension-six contributions, which are part of the  $O(\Lambda^{-4})$  contributions. Comparisons of the two results can be used to estimate uncertainties due to the truncation of the EFT expansion.

Limits set at 95% confidence level, both for the "linear" and "linear plus quadratic" models
 Fits of individual coefficients, as well as combined fit Yuri Kulchitsky, JINR

![](_page_56_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 5, 438 **PARTON DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS** AT $\sqrt{S} = 7$ , 8, 13 TEV

- □ An analysis at NNLO order in the theory of QCD for the determination of a new set of parton distribution functions (PDF) using diverse measurements in *pp* collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$ , 8 and 13 TeV, performed by the ATLAS at the LHC, together with deep inelastic scattering data from *ep* collisions at the HERA.
- □ The ATLAS data sets considered are differential cross-section measurements of inclusive  $W^{\pm}$  and  $Z/\gamma^{*}$  boson production,  $W^{\pm}$  and Z boson production in association with jets,  $t\bar{t}$  production, inclusive jet production and direct photon production. The resulting set of PDF is called ATLASpdf21.
- It is observed that the addition of the ATLAS data sets to the HERA data brings the PDFs much closer to the global PDFs of MSHT, CT and NNPDF than to HERAPDF2.0.
- > The ATLASpdf21 PDFs agree with these global fits as well as they agree with each other.
- ➤ Thus, ATLAS data seem to be able to replicate many of the features that the fixed-target deep inelastic scattering and Drell-Yan data plus the Tevatron Drell-Yan data bring to the global PDFs.
- Using only the HERA and ATLAS data allows a detailed treatment of correlated systematic uncertainties.

ATLAS