A Large Ion Collider Experiment



#### FORWARD NEUTRONS FROM ELECTROMAGNETIC DISSOCIATION OF 208 PB AT THE LHC

#### Uliana Dmitrieva for the ALICE collaboration

uliana.dmitrieva@cern.ch

ALICE | 6th International Conference on Particle Physics and Astrophysics | 01/12/2022 | Uliana Dmitrieva

#### Outline



- Introduction and motivation to study EMD
- ALICE zero degree calorimeters ZDC
  - neutron ZDC: ZNC and ZNA
  - Proton ZDC: ZPC and ZPA
  - electromagnetic calorimeters: ZEM
- Corrections for the efficiency of these detectors for EMD measurements
- Measured cross sections of the emission of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 neutrons with and without protons in EMD of <sup>208</sup>Pb
- Relation of these cross sections to the production of <sup>207,206,205,204,203</sup>Pb as secondary nuclei in colliders
- Summary

#### **New ALICE results**



ALICE Collaboration. Neutron emission in ultraperipheral Pb-Pb collisions at √s<sub>NN</sub> = 5.02 TeV. arXiv:2209.04250 [nucl-ex] (submitted to Physical Review C)

In ultraperipheral collisions (UPC) colliding nuclei interact electromagnetically leading to their break-up – electromagnetic dissociation (EMD) of nuclei

> The total cross section of EMD (~ 211 b for  $^{208}$ Pb) at the LHC is much larger than the hadronic cross section (7.7 b)

In most cases, EMD results in the emission of one or few nucleons with the production of a single residual nucleus

Emission of neutrons in EMD was measured

#### Motivation



▶ EMD of Pb was studied <sup>1)</sup> at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV

Now the highest collision energy of  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 5.02$  TeV is available

- Different EMD models can be tested/validated with these data:
  - RELDIS<sup>2)</sup>
  - $\sim n_{O}^{O}n^{3)}$
- Estimate the production of residual nuclei left after the emission of several neutrons and zero protons from <sup>208</sup>Pb in EMD:
  - > <sup>207</sup>Pb, <sup>206</sup>Pb create heat load on LHC components <sup>4), 5)</sup>
  - Data can be extrapolated to the higher collision energies

1) B. Abelev et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 252302

2) I. Pshenichnov, Phys. Part. Nucl. 42, 215 (2011)

3) M. Broz et al., Comp. Phys. Com. p. 107181 (2020)

4) R. Bruce et al., Phys. Rev. ST 12 (2009) 071002

5) P.D. Hermes et al., NIM A 819 (2016) 73







#### **ALICE ZDC**



- Nucleon losses lead to the redistribution of true high multiplicity events in favor of detected low multiplicity events
- Visible cross sections should be corrected for the efficiency of nucleon registration
- Correction factors were obtained by Monte-Carlo modeling of the transport of nucleons from EMD in the ALICE setup

#### are placed far from the IP2 and they are partially shadowed by collimators and other collider components.

Neutron	Correction factor*					
multiplicity	$f_{i\mathrm{n}}$					
in	ZNC	ZNA				
0n	$0.286 \pm 0.126$	$0.302 \pm 0.097$				
1n	$1.064 \pm 0.031$	$1.064 \pm 0.030$				
2n	$1.092 \pm 0.024$	$1.010 \pm 0.095$				
3n	$1.057 \pm 0.032$	$1.066 \pm 0.018$				
4n	$1.001 \pm 0.046$	$0.962 \pm 0.094$				
5n	$0.907 \pm 0.132$	$0.917 \pm 0.104$				

Proton	Correction factor*				
multiplicity	$f_{0p}$				
	ZPC	ZPA			
0p	$0.848 \pm 0.015$	$0.852 \pm 0.018$			

#### \*) correction factor = 1/efficiency

### Selecting electromagnetic events in ALICE

M. Gallio, Joint LHC Machine-Experiment

Workshop, 25 January 2007



ZDCs are supplemented by two ZEM calorimeters at 7 m only on the side A:  $4.8 < \eta < 5.7$ 

- ZEMs are sensitive to > 92 % of hadronic events
- No signals in ZEMs in > 99 % of EMD events

Neutron	efficiency of ZEM veto $\varepsilon_i$ (%)				
multiplicity $in$	Side C	Side A			
1n	$99.875 \pm 0.005$	$99.902 \pm 0.005$			
2n	$99.766 \pm 0.014$	$99.819 \pm 0.013$			
3n	$99.457 \pm 0.039$	$99.349 \pm 0.042$			
4n	$99.479 \pm 0.043$	$99.321 \pm 0.049$			
5n	$99.368 \pm 0.050$	$99.025 \pm 0.064$			
total 1n–5n	$99.802 \pm 0.005$	$99.806 \pm 0.005$			
total Xn	$96.722 \pm 0.017$	$96.117 \pm 0.019$			







#### ZDC energy spectra



The cross section of neutron emission can be calculated for each channel:

for EMD with  $\sigma(in) = \sigma_{\text{ZED}} \frac{n_i}{N_{\text{tot}}} \frac{f_{in}}{\varepsilon_i}$ and without protons  $\sigma(in, 0p) = \sigma_{\text{ZED}} \frac{n_i}{N_{\text{tot}}} \frac{f_{in} f_{0p}}{\varepsilon_i}$ , where  $N_{\text{tot}}$  – the total number of events tagged by ZED-trigger,  $\sigma_{\text{ZED}}^*$  – visible cross section of ZED-trigger.

\*) ALICE Collaboration, "ALICE luminosity determination for Pb–Pb collisions at √s<sub>NN</sub>=5.02 TeV", arXiv:2204.10148 [nucl-ex]



# Neutron emission with arbitrary number of protons

- One and two neutrons (1n and 2n) are emitted most frequently in UPC of <sup>208</sup>Pb
- These cross sections are well described by RELDIS and n<sup>O</sup><sub>O</sub>n models
- Sn and 4n measured cross sections are underestimated by n<sub>O</sub><sup>O</sup>n and overestimated by RELDIS



Measured cross sections can be used to improve EMD models



#### **Neutron emission without protons**



According to RELDIS, the cross sections to produce <sup>207</sup>Pb, <sup>206</sup>Pb, <sup>205</sup>Pb are well approximated by 1n, 2n and 3n cross sections without proton emission.

## EMD: single residue with several nucleons

 $\Delta A = A_{\rm res} + N_{\rm n} + N_{\rm p} - 208$ 

 $\Delta Z = Z_{\rm res} + N_{\rm p} - 82$ 

 $Z_{\rm res}$  and  $A_{\rm res}$  – the charge and mass of the heaviest residual nucleus  $N_{\rm n}$  and  $N_{\rm p}$  – the numbers of emitted neutrons and protons



 $\Rightarrow$  the properties of residual nuclei can be estimated by detecting forward neutrons and protons





#### Summary

- The cross sections of emission of given numbers of neutrons in UPC of  $^{208}$ Pb nuclei at  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}} = 5.02$  TeV were measured with ALICE neutron zero degree calorimeters
- The cross sections for the emission of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 forward neutrons in UPC, not accompanied by protons were measured for the first time. They mostly correspond to the production of <sup>207,206,205,204,203</sup>Pb, respectively
- The predictions from the available models describe the measured cross sections
- The obtained cross sections can be used for evaluating the impact of secondary nuclei on the LHC components, in particular, on superconducting magnets, and also provide useful input for the design of the Future Circular Collider (FCC-hh)

A Large Ion Collider Experiment



## **THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!**



#### **Backup slides**



# Hadronic and electromagnetic interactions of nuclei



#### **Ultraperipheral collisions**

Hadronic interactions of nuclei with significant overlap of nuclear densities and multiple particle production are mostly studied at RHIC and at the LHC.

In ultraperipheral collisions (UPC) colliding nuclei interact electromagnetically leading to their break-up – electromagnetic dissociation (EMD) of nuclei.

> The total cross section of EMD (~ 211 b for  $^{208}$ Pb) at the LHČ is much larger than the hadronic cross section (7.7 b).

In most cases, EMD results in the emission of one or few nucleons with the production of a single residual nucleus.

 As expected, various heavy secondary nuclei are produced in EMD at the LHC. These nuclei are not detectable, but they can pass through the collimator system and impact collider
A. J. Baltz, Phys Rep 458, 1 – 171 (2008) R. Bruce et al., Phys Rev ST Accel Beams 12, 071002 (2009)

 $A_1, Z_1$ 

b>R,+R,

A,,Z,



#### Weizsäcker-Williams method

The impact of the Coulomb field of the nucleus  $A_1$  to  $A_2$  can be represented by the absorption of one or more equivalent photons by the target nucleus  $A_2$ .





The impact of the Coulomb field of the nucleus  $A_1$  to  $A_2$  can be represented by the absorption of one or more equivalent photons by the target nucleus  $A_2$ .

# Various processes of photoabsorbtion with the emission of nucleons







#### Production of Pb, Tl and Hg isotopes

Z/A ratio characterizes the proximity of the trajectories of secondary nuclei in the magnetic field of the LHC to the beam.





#### **ALICE measurements**

ZN		$\sigma(in)$ (b)			$\sigma(in)$	$\sigma^{\text{REL}}$	DIS(in)	$\sigma^{n_O^{On}}(in)$
		Side C	Side A		(b)	(	b)	(b)
1n	109	$.7\pm0.1\pm4.0$	$107.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 4.0$	108.4	$4\pm0.1\pm3.7$	108.0	$\pm 5.4$	$103.7\pm2.1$
2n	25.8	$8 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.8$	$24.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 2.3$	25.0	$\pm 0.1 \pm 1.3$	25.9 =	$\pm 1.3$	$23.6 \pm 0.5$
3n	7.9	$7 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.32$	$7.94 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.24$	7.95	$\pm 0.04 \pm 0.23$	11.4 =	$\pm 0.6$	$6.3 \pm 0.1$
4n	5.73	$3 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.30$	$5.56 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.56$	5.65	$\pm 0.03 \pm 0.33$	$7.8 \pm$	0.4	$4.8 \pm 0.1$
5n	4.6	$1 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.68$	$4.47 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.52$	4.54	$\pm 0.03 \pm 0.44$	$6.3 \pm$	0.3	$4.7 \pm 0.1$
1n–5n				151.5	$5\pm0.2\pm4.6$	159.8	$\pm 5.6$	$143.1 \pm 2.2$
ZN	ZP	0	$\sigma(in, 0p)$ (b)		$\sigma(in, 0p)$ (	(b)	$\sigma^{\text{RELD}}$	IS(in, 0p) (b)

ZN	ZP	$\sigma(in, 0p)$ (b)		$\sigma(in, 0p)$ (b)	$\sigma^{\text{RELDIS}}(in, 0p)$ (b)
		Side C	Side A		
1n	0p	$92.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 3.8$	$90.9 \pm 0.1 \pm 3.9$	$91.8 \pm 0.1 \pm 3.3$	$104.1 \pm 5.2$
2n		$21.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.8$	$20.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 2.0$	$20.7 \pm 0.1 \pm 1.1$	$21.9 \pm 1.1$
3n		$6.14 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.27$	$6.21 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.23$	$6.17 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.20$	$7.59 \pm 0.38$
4n		$4.21 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.23$	$4.08 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.42$	$4.15 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.25$	$4.29 \pm 0.22$
5n		$3.16 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.47$	$3.08 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.36$	$3.12 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.30$	$2.95 \pm 0.15$
1n–5n				$126.0 \pm 0.2 \pm 4.0$	$140.8 \pm 5.3$

#### Good agreement between C and A sides for both kinds of cross sections

ALICE Collabaration., Neutron emission in ultraperipheral Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =5.02 TeV. arXiv:2209.04250 [nucl-ex]

#### Probabilistic model to account for ZDC acceptance



The numbers of detected events  $n_i$  and those calculated by RELDIS  $N_i$  for a given multiplicity *i* are connected by means of a triangular transformation matrix P:



U. Dmitrieva, I. Pshenichnov, NIM **A 906** (2018) 114 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.07.072