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How Soft Photons are determined

Quark-quark (qq), quark-gluon(qg), and gluon-gluon (gg) interactions lead to the emission of photons, which are called direct ones. The information about that early stage of the qg-system development is especially valuable and it can be.

The photon probes provide us complementary information to hadronic ones. Photons with low transverse $p_T \lesssim 70$ MeV/c and longitudinal momenta $x_F \lesssim 0.005$ (accordingly, with low energies in the c.m.s.) are called Soft Photons (SPs). We are aimed at studying of photons with $10 < p_T < 50$ MeV/c.
Why are we interested in SP?

The main sources of photons in hadron or nuclear interactions are the decay products of unstable particles (including resonances). Another source is bremsstrahlung (the scattering of charged particles).

Photons interact with the surrounding matter only electromagnetically. Their cross sections are much smaller than hadronic. Hadrons scatter many times, their spectrum reflects the state of the system only at the final stage of its expansion.
What phenomena can we study by SPs?

- Excess of the soft photon (SP) yield is observed in the different hadron & nuclear interactions in a wide energy region. There is still no comprehensive explanation of the nature of this phenomenon.
- In accordance to the Gluon Dominance Model (GDM) soft gluons can be sources of SPs.
- The region of the SP formation lies outside pQCD (hadronization region).
- Investigate the connection between the pion (Bose-Einstein, BEC) condensate and an excess SP yield.
What phenomena can we study by SPs?

- The relevance of a soft gluon component for the nucleon structure study.
- Interferometry of SPs.
- Search for $P$-parity violation effect in events with high $p_T$.
- An indication of increased yield of $\eta^0$-mesons in AA-interactions compared to nucleonic.
- Coherence of SP emission by measurement of flow $v_2$ (T. Kodama and T. Koide).
- Search for QED (QCD) mesons that can be sources of SP and soft $e^+e^-$ pairs (new particles in the system of two $\gamma$-quanta (X17 and E38); etc.
Experiments corroborating SP excess

The first convincing result $K^+ p \rightarrow \gamma + X$ at 70 GeV/c

CERN, BEBC
$K^+ p \rightarrow \gamma + \ldots$, 70 GeV/c, (1984) solid line - bremsstrahlung

SPS, NA22. $K^+ p \rightarrow \gamma + \ldots$, $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \gamma + X$, $K^+$ and $\pi^+$ beams - 250 GeV/c, 1991
Experiments corroborating SP excess

CERN, SPS, HELIOS (WA34) Coll. 1989. pp, pBe, pAl, $^{32}\text{S}+\text{W}$, 450 GeV/c. One of the possible signals for qg-matter formation is an enhanced production of EM radiation in the form of real or virtual photons (low-$p_T\gamma$'s or low-$m_T$ lepton pairs). (J. Schukraft)

Left: $\gamma$'s converted in a thin iron plate are identified in a DCh, their energy is measured in a 6x6 matrix of BGO. The dashed line represents the contribution from hadronic decays. Right: Background-subtracted spectra in p-Be and p-Al. The line corresponds to the calculated of hadronic bremsstrahlung.
J. Schukraft, HELIOS Coll.: “The SP excess presents an anomaly, because at the very low $p_T$ the wavelength is large compared to the hadronic interaction region, bremsstrahlung from initial- and final-state particles is the conceivable source of SPs (Low’s theorem). In this regime, processes confined within the interaction region with its typical size (and lifetime) of 1 fm. We have to consider the presence of much larger scales ($\approx 5$–20 fm) than usually thought to exist in hadronic interactions.”

SOPHIE/WA83 Coll. $\pi^- + p$, at 280 GeV/c, 1993

After subtraction of hadronic $\gamma$’s, data is compared with QED inner bremsstrahlung.
Experiments corroborating SP excess

CERN, WA91, OMEGA spectr., \(\pi^-p\) inter. at 280 GeV/c (2002)

The re-calculated ratio of the observed direct SP signal to the expected hadronic inner bremsstrahlung, which is found to be \(5.3 \pm 1.0\).

CERN, WA102, OMEGA spectr., pp inter. at 450 GeV/c (2002)

\(p_T\) distribution for \(\gamma's\) with \(0.2 < E_\gamma < 1\) GeV, corrected for detection efficiency. "Brems" - for the inner hadronic bremsstrahlung.
CERN, DELPHI, 2009-2011. An excess of SP's in hadronic decays of $Z^0$ at $e^+e^-$ annihilation. The ratio of the excess to the predicted bremsstrahlung rate is then $(3.4\pm0.2\pm0.8)$, which is similar in strength to the anomalous SP signal observed in fixed target experiments with hadronic beams.

Figs.: the difference between the RD (Real Data) and MC distributions. “Brems” corresponds to the inner hadronic bremsstrahlung predictions. The errors are statistical.
Experiments corroborating SP excess (only in hadron channels!)

CERN, DELPHI, 2010. An excess of SP's in hadronic decays of $Z^0$ at $e^+e^-$ annihilation for neutral pions.

That excess isn't observing at the lepton channel $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu$
SP registration at Nuclotron

A general view of ECal based on BGO crystals with veto-detectors at NIS-GIBS setup, 2015 (Nuclotron, JINR)
Data and MC spectra of energy release in ECal (BGO) & a pre-shower with 3.5 A GeV/c d and Li beams, 50th, 51st runs, Nuclotron (SVD-2 Collaboration)

Criteria of selection: 1) E in the front veto-counter < 0.3 MIPs; 2) E in pre-shower 0.5 < E < 4 MIPs; 3) ToF -1200 < t-t_γ < 600ps; 4) more than 2 MeV is registered in a BGO crystal; 5) location of shower in crystal must overlay throughout vertical with the triggered pre-shower counter; 6) E deposition in the outer BGO layer should be ≤ 1/3 of a total to prevent significant leakages
Gluon Dominance Model (GDM)

GDM describes multiparticle production in two stages. It presents itself the convolution of $qg$-cascade (pQCD) and hadronization (phenomenological scheme). GDM confirms the fragmentation mechanism of hadronization in $e^+e^-$ annihilation and recombination one in hadron and nuclear interactions.
**GDM** evidences, the main sources of secondaries are active **gluons**, valence quarks are staying in the leading particles. The rest of gluons, ~ 50%, can’t turn into hadrons - it’s insufficient of energy, we call them soft gluons. They are picked up by newly born quarks with following dropping of energy by emission of **SP**: \( g + q \rightarrow \gamma + q \) or \( q+\overline{q} \rightarrow \gamma \).

We estimated the emission region of **SPs** in the case of almost the equilibrium state using the black body emission spectrum for interaction **pp** \( \rightarrow \) hadrons + \( \gamma \)'s (SP) at U-70. Its linear size exceeds the typical size of hadronization region (1 fm) and reaches a value about 4-6 fm.
How does the SP yield depend on the pion condensate (BEC) formation?

Begun and Gorenstein (2007) predicted the formation of the pion or Bose-Einstein (BEC) condensate in \( pp \) interactions at \( U-70 \) in a high multiplicity region \( N_{\text{tot}} \gg \langle N_{\text{tot}} \rangle \) (\( N_{\text{tot}} = N_{\text{ch}} + N_0 \)) in the framework of the ideal pion gas model. They proposed us to measure the scaled variance for neutral pion number: \( \omega^0 = D/\langle N_0(N_{\text{tot}}) \rangle \), \( D = \langle N_0^2 \rangle - \langle N_0 \rangle^2 \), as vs. \( N_{\text{tot}} \). Its sharp abrupt rise would be a signal of BEC.

All of the known MC schemes and Poisson give \( \omega^0 \approx 1 \).
Our SVD-2 setup allows to register photons. Using original method, we have retrieved the number of events with a certain multiplicity of π^0’s for given \( N_{\text{ch}} \). We’ve shown the ratio \( \omega^0 (\text{exp})/ \omega^0 (\text{MC}) \) gets 7s.d. at \( N_{\text{tot}} \sim 25 \) (SVD Coll., 2012).

There are some models that explain an enhanced yield of SPs by BEC formation.
Interferometry of direct photons

Two-particle correlations of direct photons at 158 AGeV in the most central $^{208}$Pb+$^{208}$Pb collisions. “All” PID criterion is used and cut on minimal distance $L_{12} > 20$ cm is imposed (WA98 Coll. (2004))
Search for $P$-parity violation effect in events with high $p_T$

The angle distribution on $\phi$ between planes of $e^+e^-$-pairs has been gotten in FNAL experiment KTeV-E799 by using of 30000 events. Contribution of the positive parity state, factor $b$ in expression for the angle distribution, consisted $\leq 3.3\%$:

$$\frac{dF}{d\phi} = 1 + a \cos(2\phi) + b \sin(2\phi)$$
Yield of $\eta^0$-mesons in NN and NA-interactions

$\eta^0$ production is much higher in $p^{20}$Ne interactions $[R(\eta^0/\pi^0)=0.66+/-0.12$ for $n_p > 2]$ than in $pN$ interactions $[R (\eta^0/\pi^0)=0.06+0.04]$. Strong correlations between $<n_\gamma>$ and $n_p$, the number of secondary protons, are observed, primarily from the central and target fragmentation regions. ...

B.S. Yuldashev (1991)
Testing of coherent emission of SPs by means of flow $v_2$

Prediction for flow, $v_2$, from Direct Photons (empty diamonds). Squares denote the results with the effects of incoherent with $p = 0.2$ GeV. Filled circles indicate the experimental data from PHENIX T.Koide, T. Kodama. (2016)

Flow $v_2$ as function of $p_T$ for $\gamma$-spectra
Search for QED (QCD) mesons that can be sources of SP and soft $e^+e^-$ pairs (new particles in the system of two $\gamma$-quanta ($X_{17}$ and $E_{38}$).

Open string QED meson description

C.Y. Wong: q and qbar can’t be isolated, the intrinsic motion of this q+qbar system in its lowest-energy state lies predominantly in 1+1 dimensions, as in open string with q and qbar at its two ends. He studies these energy states of the open string qqbar system in QCD and QED in 1+1 dimensions and shows that $\pi^0$, $\eta$, and $\eta'$ can be adequately described as open string qqbar QCD mesons. By extrapolating into the qqbar QED sector in which q and qbar interact with QED interaction, he finds an open string QED meson state at 17.9±1.5MeV and QED meson state at 36.4 ± 3.8 MeV.
Open string QED meson description

The predicted masses of the isoscalar and isovector QED mesons are close to the masses of the hypothetical X17 [1] and E38 [2] particles observed recently, making them good candidates for these particles has generated a great deal interest [3]. Evidence for X17 reported in the decay of the excited I(J^π)=0(0−) state of ⁴He [4].

Open string QED meson description

The decay products of QED mesons may show up as excess $e^+e^-$ and $\gamma\gamma$ pairs in the anomalous SP phenomenon associated with hadron production in high-energy hadron-proton collisions and $e^+e^-$ annihilation.

Measurements of the invariant masses of excess $e^+e^-$ and $\gamma\gamma$ pairs will provide tests for the existence of the open string $qq\overline{q}q$ QED mesons.
Open string QED meson description

(a) Anomalous SP data from pp at $p_{\text{lab}}=450$ GeV/c Belogianni et al.
(b) SP data from the DELPHI Coll. for $e^+e^-$ at $Z^0$ mass. The solid points represent the data after subtracting the experimental background, and triangle points represent the deduced bremsstrahlung contributions. The total theoretical yields in the thermal model from produced bosons and the additional bremsstrahlung contributions are shown as solid curves. The component yields from different masses of the thermal model are shown as separate curves.
Open string QED meson description

An assembly of gravitating QED mesons are expected to emit $e^+e^-$ and $\gamma\gamma$ rays and their decay, energies will be modified by their gravitational binding energies. Therefore, a self-gravitating isoscalar QED meson assembly whose mass $M$ and radius $R$ satisfy $\left(\frac{M}{M_\odot}\right)/\left(\frac{R}{R_\odot}\right) \geq 4.71 \times 10^5$ will not produce $e^+e^-$ pairs and $\gamma\gamma$ rays and may be a good candidate for the primordial dark matter.
Spaghetti ECal scheme

The prototype detector cell is an assembly of W+Cu composite plates and rods, and GaGG: Ce rods, with shape of a rectangular parallelepiped: $18 \times 18 \times 100$ mm$^3$. It has of 6x6 (1×1×100 mm$^3$) scintillator rods surrounded by absorber.

Detector cell with yellow/green rods, and grey plates.
We plan to manufacture of ECal “shashlik”. It'll consist of 16 Gallium-Gadolinium Garnet (GaGG) plates (100x100x3 mm³), 15 plates of 2mm-absorber (W:Cu composite, 1:19), total thickness - 138 mm
Energy resolution of SpaCal vs Shashlik

- **SpaCal, 25x25 rods**
- **Shashlik, GaGG, WCu**
Outlook

We present extensive physical program of SP study and not only for future experiments at NICA SPD and other setups.

MC simulation and carrying out of our experiment with ECal “Shashlyk” with GaGG scintillator and composite W/Cu absorber to receive better Energy Resolution at low energy. In progress.

We also learn possibilities of using of Glass and Glass Ceramic Stoichiometric and Gd$^{3+}$ heavy loaded BaO*2SiO2:Ce(DSB:Ce) scintillation material for ECal application.
Thank you for attention
Measurement of flow $v_2$ for Direct Photons

$$v_2^{\gamma, \text{dir}} = \frac{R_\gamma(p_T)v_2^{\gamma, \text{inc}} - v_2^{\gamma, \text{bg}}}{R_\gamma(p_T) - 1}$$

$R_\gamma(p_T) = \frac{N^{\text{inc}}(p_T)}{N^{\text{bg}}(p_T)}$ with $N^{\text{inc}} = N^{\text{meas}} - N^{\text{hadr}}$, the number of inclusive $\gamma$’s, while $N^{\text{bg}}(p_T)$ is the number of $\gamma$’s attributed to hadron decay. Values of $R_\gamma(p_T)$ above 5 GeV/$c$ are taken from real photon data with the PHENIX ECal and below that from the more accurate, but $p_T$-range limited internal conversion measurement of direct photons. PHENIX, 2012.
Open string QED meson description

Table. Comparison of experimental and theoretical masses of neutral, $I_3=0$, and $S=0$ QCD and QED mesons obtained with the semi-empirical mass formula for QCD mesons and for QED mesons, with $\alpha = 1/137$, $\alpha = 0.68 \pm 0.08$, and $R = 0.40 \pm 0.4$ fm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QCD meson</th>
<th>$\pi^0$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$\eta'$</th>
<th>$[I(J^\pi)]$</th>
<th>Experimental mass (MeV)</th>
<th>Semi-empirical mass formula (MeV)</th>
<th>Meson mass in massless quark limit (MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QCD meson</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$[1(0^-)]$</td>
<td>134.9768±0.0005</td>
<td>134.9±</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCD meson</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$[0(0^-)]$</td>
<td>547.862±0.017</td>
<td>498.4±39.8</td>
<td>329.7±57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCD meson</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$[0(0^-)]$</td>
<td>957.78±0.06</td>
<td>948.2±99.6</td>
<td>723.4±126.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QED meson</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$[0(0^-)]$</td>
<td>17.9±1.5</td>
<td>11.2±1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QED meson</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$[1(0^-)]$</td>
<td>36.4±3.8</td>
<td>33.6±3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible QED meson candidates</td>
<td>X17</td>
<td>X17</td>
<td>E38</td>
<td>E38</td>
<td>(1^+)?</td>
<td>(0^-)?</td>
<td>16.70±0.35±0.5$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Comparison of scintillator properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Gd$_3$Al$_2$Ga$<em>3$O$</em>{12}$</th>
<th>Bi$_4$Ge$<em>3$O$</em>{12}$</th>
<th>NaI:Tl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>light yield, $10^3$ph/MeV</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>energy resolution, (%@662keV)</td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decay time, ns</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hygroscopicity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density, g/cm$^3$</td>
<td>6,63</td>
<td>7,13</td>
<td>3,67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation peak, nm</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expect parameters of ECal’s

We would like to fill a niche between heterogeneous structures “shashlik” for region 10-50 MeV (SP) with light yield $\sim 3-6 \text{ ph/MeV}$ and crystal detectors – light yield $\sim 10,000 - 40,000 \text{ ph/MeV}$.

We’re aimed at creation of “heavy” ECal’s:
- scintillation decay time $\sim 90 \text{ ns}$;
  – light yield $\sim 2000-3000 \text{ ph/MeV}$;
  – price about $25-35/cm^3$ of volume;
  – radiation resistance.
MC simulation of SpaCal

WCu(1/19), 25x25 rods GaGG (3x3 mm²), 1mm-gape, 101x101x150 mm³