

Dark Matter Searches with the ATLAS Detector

5th International Conference on Particle Physics and Astrophysics

Nikolina Ilic on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration Institute of Particle Physics & University of Toronto Oct 7, 2020





Outline

• Dark Matter at Colliders

• ATLAS DM Searches (a small subset!)

- •MET + jet
- \bullet MET + Wt
- MET + VV (hadronic)
- Combinations



Dark Matter at Colliders



Dark Matter at Colliders



DM Invisible gives MET

In only visible decays, momentum in transverse plane must be 0 before and after collision. DM presence means non zero Missing Transverse Energy **(MET)**

Dark Matter at Colliders

Simplified Models

- Benchmark models with simplified mediator, look for MET + X final states
- Harmonized choices, common to all experiments, under guidance of LHC DM working group



Complete Models

• Fully theoretically developed models, final states depend on model assumptions





p \tilde{q} \tilde{q} $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ q



Effective field theory, scaler dark energy field couples to SM

Simplified models.

Simplified axial-vector,

vector, pseudo-scaler

mediator



Higgs decay to DM

+ Axion-like particles with gluon Signal-rich Signal Region (SR) Require 0 leptons/photons



Largest backgrounds Z+jets/W+jets/top estimated from 5 background-rich control regions (CR), differentiated from SR by number of leptons





Z+jets is correlated with W+jets in order to increase sensitivity via reweighting MC (NLO in QCD)





Reaches percent-level precision on the backgrounds, thanks to theory work on V+jets (10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5389-1), and improved reconstruction performance



Fit exploits shape of p_T of vector boson. No significant excess over SM predictions.



Dominant uncertainties: data statistics

 $\mathbf{MET} + \mathbf{Wt}$



- Simplified DM models are a good benchmark, but have some theoretical inconsistencies (arXiv 1510.02110, arXiv 1503.07874), and limited signatures (MET + mono-X)
- Next-generation LHC DM model: 2 Higgs Double Models (2HDM+a)
 - New signatures & rich phenomenology
 - Additional Higgs particles (h, H0 , H± , A) & pseudo-scalar (a) that couples to DM



Final states with various combinations of leptons, jets/b-jets define the SR

Main backgrounds $t\bar{t}$, W+jets, tt Z, WZ are normalized from CR, which is differentiated from SR by discriminating variables





ATLAS-CONF-2020-034

$\mathbf{MET} + \mathbf{Wt}$

 $p(\bar{p})$

https://arxiv.org/pdf/0911.4126.pdf

m^{vis (1)} $\vec{n}^{vis(1)}$ M_p $\vec{n}\chi(1)$ $m^{\chi(1)}$ $\vec{n}\chi(1)$ $, m^{\chi(1)}$ M_p Decay particles $\vec{p}^{vis(2)}$, $m^{vis(2)}$ have same mass $p(\bar{p})$ $(M_{\rm T}^{(i)})^2 = (m^{\rm vis(i)})^2 + m_{\rm X}^2 + 2\left(E_{\rm T}^{\rm vis(i)}E_{\rm T}^{\rm X(i)} - \vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\rm vis(i)} \cdot \vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\rm X(i)}\right)$

10[°] Events / 30 GeV **ATLAS** Preliminary $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ Data SM Total 10⁴ $\mathsf{SR}_{\mathsf{tW}_{\mathsf{SI}}} \text{ Post-fit}$ Single top quark tīV Diboson 10^{3} tWZ Others ••••••• m_a =300 GeV $m_{H^{\pm}}$ =1200 GeV tan β =1 10^{2} 10 Data/SM 0 100 150 200 250 50 m_{T2} [GeV] 2 lepton, ≥ 1 jet, ≥ 1 b-jet

ATLAS-CONF-2020-034



 M_T^1 or M_T^2 must be smaller than M_P , so larger of the two is chosen M_{T2} smaller than original particle mass, so minimize over possible DM momenta







Differentiate SR from CR with $am_{T2}: {\bf asymmetric\ stransverse\ mass}, \, {\rm MET}, \, m_T$, m_W

ATLAS-CONF-2020-034

1 lepton, 1-4 jets, 1-2 b-jet

am_{T2} [GeV]



Decay particles have different masses

Asymmetric is generalized $M_{T2}(m_X)(am_{T2})$

version of $M_{T2}(m_X)$

ATLAS-CONF-2020-034





-1

Differentiate SR from CR with Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) that uses as inputs MET, m_T , number of jets and lepton/b-jet separation ATLAS-CONF-2020-034

0

1 lepton, ≥ 3 jets (1 b-jet)

BDT score

ATLAS-CONF-2020-034

m_{H*} [GeV] tanβ **ATLAS** Preliminary ATLAS Preliminary 2HDM+a, Dirac DM Observed 400 $\pm 1 \sigma_{\text{theory}}$ 4.5 √s=13 TeV, 139 fb⁻¹ √s=13 TeV, 139 fb⁻¹ $m_{\chi} = 10 \text{ GeV}, g_{\chi} = 1$ Expected $\sin\theta = 1/\sqrt{2}, m_a = 250 \text{ GeV}$ 4⊢All limits at 95% CL $\pm 1\sigma_{exp}$ All limits at 95% CL $\pm\,2\,\sigma_{\text{exp}}$ 1200 $m_{\mu^{\pm}} = m_{H} = m_{A}$ --- Observed DMt+tī SR_{tw} +SR_{tw} 3.5 $\cdots \pm 1 \, \sigma_{\text{theory}}$ DMt+tĪ SR,w -- Expected 3E DMt+tt SR,w 1000 $\pm 1\sigma_{exp}$ $\pm 2 \sigma_{exp}$ 2.5 DMt+tt SR_{tw}, +SR_{tw} DMt+tt SR_{tw}, DMt+tt SR_{tw} 800 2HDM+a, Dirac DM $m_{\chi} = 10 \text{ GeV}, g_{\chi} = 1$ 600 $\sin\theta = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $\tan\beta = 1$ $m_{\mu\pm} = m_{\mu} = m_{A}$ 400 <u>-</u> 100 0.5∟ 400 800 200 300 400 500 600 1000 1200 1400 600 m_a [GeV] m_{µ±} [GeV]

Charged Higgs vs Pseudo-scalar (a) $m_{\chi} = 10$ GeV, $g_{\chi} = 1$

 $\tan \beta$ vs Charged Higgs (H^{\pm}) $m_{\chi} = 10$ GeV, $g_{\chi} = 1$

 $\tan \beta$ = ratio of vacuum expectation values of the Higgs Doublets Dominant uncertainties: data statistics



- Dark Higgs model: simplified model for dark matter production (novel signature)
- At high momentum, the hadronic decays of the W/Z are very collimated (merged)
- Close to granularity limits of calorimeter use angular track information to improve spatial resolution – Track Assisted Reclustured (TAR) jets



Track Assisted Reclustered (TAR) jets

• Identify small-radius jets



Track Assisted Reclustered (TAR) jets

- Identify small-radius jets
- Recluster input jets to large radius jets (R=0.8)
 - Remove soft components from pileup (trimming)



Track Assisted Reclustered (TAR) jets

- Identify small-radius jets
- Recluster input jets to large radius jets (R=0.8)
 - Remove soft components from pileup (trimming)
- Match tracks to small-radius jets



Track Assisted Reclustered (TAR) jets

- Identify small-radius jets
- Recluster input jets to large radius jets (R=0.8)
 - Remove soft components from pileup (trimming)
- Match tracks to small-radius jets
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Rescale tracks to the momentum of the small radius jets

$$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{track,new}} = p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{track,old}} \times \frac{p_{\mathrm{T}}^{j}}{\sum_{i \in i} p_{\mathrm{T}}^{i}}$$

where the index *i* runs over all tracks matched to subjet

• Calculate jet properties from tracks



Main background Z to $\nu\nu$ +jets and W+jets (from CR in 2/1 lepton regions respectively)







51M



28

W12



No significant excesses found

Dominant Uncertainties: jet energy scale/resolution, signal & W/Z+jets modelling

Putting it All Together

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2020-021



leptophobic axial-vector Z'_A mode

leptophobic axial-vector mediator simplified models

Summary

- Subset of ATLAS Dark Matter searches presented in MET + jet, MET + Wt, MET + VV (hadronic) final states
- Many SUSY searches also include DM interpretations, please see posters:
 - 3L search for EWK SUSY w/ neutralino LSP: <u>https://indico.particle.mephi.ru/event/35/contributions/2398/</u>
 - 4L+ search for SUSY (which includes intrpretations in which the gravitino could be a DM candidate): <u>https://indico.particle.mephi.ru/event/35/contributions/2419/</u>
- Many other new results with improved measurement techniques:
 - Vector Boson Fusion + MET (ANA-EXOT-2018-51)
 - MET + Photon (ATLAS-CONF-2020-020)
 - Mono-H(bb) (ATLAS-CONF-2018-039)
- No significant excesses found, limits set on many models
- ATLAS results highlight complementarity between LHC and direct dark matter searches

BACKUP



Figure 8: Relative uncertainties for the total background yield in each SR for the three analysis channels, including the contribution from the different sources of uncertainty. The 'Detector' category contains all detector-related systematic uncertainties and is dominated by jet energy scale and resolution. The 'Background normalisation' represents the uncertainty on the fitted normalisation factors, including the available event counts in the CRs. Individual uncertainties can be correlated, and do not necessarily add up quadratically to the total background uncertainty.

ATLAS-CONF-2020-036

Source of uncertainty	Uncertainty [%]		
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Signal modeling	11	10	10
W+jets modeling	9	21	14
Z+jets modeling	7	12	13
MC statistics	11	14	23
Jet Energy Scale	8	17	24
Jets Energy Resolution	11	18	15
Lepton reconstruction	8	9	5
Track reconstruction	6	7	5
Systematic uncertainty	30	42	55
Statistical uncertainty	16	25	50
Total uncertainty	34	49	74

Table 1: Dominant sources of uncertainty for three Dark Higgs scenarios after the fit to Asimov data generated from the expected values of the maximum likelihood estimators including predicted signals with $(m_{Z'}, m_s)$ of (a) (1 TeV, 160 GeV), (b) (1 TeV, 235 GeV), and (c) (1 TeV, 310 GeV). The uncertainty on the fitted signal yield relative to the theory prediction is presented. Total is the quadrature sum of statistical and total systematic uncertainties.

Spin Independent Results

