

Exotic searches by ATLAS and CMS

Amandeep Kaur (on behalf of ATLAS and CMS collaborations)

5th International Conference on Particle Physics and Astrophysics

October 5-9, 2020



Introduction



- ATLAS and CMS are two multipurpose detectors at the LHC ring resulted in the discovery of Higgs Boson in 2012
- With successful data taking during Run-II and having several upgrades for implementing fast electronics, ATLAS and CMS has recorded up to ~139 fb⁻¹ of data at 13 TeV
- This would help in looking at several model predictions falling in beyond standard model sector
- Will answer many unanswered questions like matter-antimatter asymmetry, existence of dark matter and so on....









Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

Why do we need to look Beyond Standard Model?



- Standard model successfully explains the structure of matter and the forces acting between them. Still, it fails to answer many important questions:
 - Inclusion of the forth fundamental force i.e gravitational force
 - Why only 5% of matter made of ordinary SM particles?
 - Why there are only three families of quarks and leptons?
 - Is there a more fundamental theory of which the Standard Model is a low energy approximation?



To answer such questions , new models beyond standard model have evolved with time and predicts "new phenomena" at the "TeV" scale

What do we expect Beyond Standard Model ?





Resonances





Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

Di-Jet resonance search

CMS: <u>arXiv:1911.03947</u>

Full Run 2 dataset

A state of the sta



Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

Exotic searches by ATLAS and CMS

October 5-9, 2020

6 /23

Di-Jet resonance search

- To get sensitivity at low masses :
 - Data collected with different technique known as "Data scouting" is analyzed
- 3-jet events are recorded and reconstructed using only calorimeter-based information with lower p_T thresholds
- Backgrounds estimated using parametric fit to data





CMS : <u>PhysLettB(2020)135448</u>



Fully-hadronic top-pair resonances

- Fully hadronic top decaying to large-radius jets (R = 1.0)
- Jets are DNN top-tagged
- b-tagging using variable-radius track-jets : two signal regions with
 I or 2 b-tagged jets



95% confidence level for the decay widths of 1% and 3% respectively

Run: 359310

3066561649

2018-08-28 22·20·41 CES

Full Run 2 dataset

ATLAS : arXiv:2005.05138



Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

diboson resonance search

Х

 Targeting O(100 GeV) to multi-TeV resonances (radions, gravitons, new vector bosons, extended Higgs sector) in different BSM scenarios :

q

• Warped extra-dimensions, composite Higgs, technicolor, ...





Highlights

q

- All possible production modes are targeted
- Improved tagging algorithms for high p_T $V \rightarrow qq, H \rightarrow bb, H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ decays :
 - Dense environment : critical to combine calorimeter with superior angular resolution of trackers

V

 Novel analysis methods: 3D likelihood fits and anomaly detection techniques for broadening scope of the searches







VH resonances

ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2020-043

HDBS-2018-11 Full Run 2 dataset







Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

10/23

ZH resonances

- Search for spin 1 resonances in the boosted regime for $m_X > 800 \text{ GeV}$
 - => 0 lepton (large pT_{miss}) and 2 lepton channels considered
 - Including VBF production for the first time!
- Major backgrounds V+jets, ttbar and VV



Z' with mass below 3.5 TeV and 3.7 TeV is excluded

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(m_{\rm X}) &= \frac{N_{SR}^{Vjet}(m_{\rm X})}{N_{SB}^{Vjet}(m_{\rm X})}, \\ N_{SR}^{Vjet}(m_{\rm X}) &= \left[N_{SB}^{data}(m_{\rm X}) - N_{SB}^{top}(m_{\rm X}) - N_{SB}^{VV}(m_{\rm X})\right] \times \alpha(m_{\rm X}). \quad \text{for } \\ N_{SR}^{bkg}(m_{\rm X}) &= N_{SR}^{Vjet}(m_{\rm X}) + N_{SR}^{top}(m_{\rm X}) + N_{SR}^{VV}(m_{\rm X}) \end{aligned}$$

• The m_X (or m_{TX}) distributions are estimated using the data in the jet mass sidebands

1500

1000

2000

Events / (100 GeV)

• A function is defined as the ratio of the two functions describing the m_X shape in the signal and sideband range of the V+jets background







2500

3000

3500

m_x (GeV)

4000

CMS : <u>CMS-PAS-B2G-19-006</u> Full Run 2 dataset



Leptoquarks and vector like-quarks

LQ appears in many BSM models to answer the question: Why same number of generation for leptons and quarks

- Ieptoquarks carry both lepton and baryon number
 - decay in lepton-jet
- Motivated by models such as grand unified theories, technicolor models, compositeness scenario and R-parity violating supersymmetry

Vector -like quarks

- Heavy quarks for which left- and right- handed chirality components transform the same under SU(2)
- Predicted in many theories (extra- dimensions, Higgs compositeness,) to solve hierarchy problem
- Strong production of pairs, electroweak single production







Search for leptoquarks





• Events having one light lepton and at least one τ_h , or at least two light lepton with two or more jets with at least one to be arising from the fragmentation of b-hadron







Scalar leptoquarks decaying exclusively into $t\tau$ are excluded up to masses of 1.43 TeV

- Events having a top quark and either an electron or a muon
- targets heavy leptoquarks having top quark decaying to single high p_T wide jet

limits are set at 1.48 TeV and 1.47 TeV for the e and μ channels



Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

October 5-9, 2020

3/23

Search for leptoquarks

- The leptoquark (LQ) may couple to a top quark plus a τ lepton ($t\tau$) or a bottom quark plus a neutrino ($b\nu$, scalar LQ), or else to $t\nu$ or $b\tau$ (vector LQ), leading to the final states $t\tau\nu$ b and $t\tau\nu$
 - high p_T^{miss} , high H_T , one hadronic top candidate and one hadronic au



Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

Exotic searches by ATLAS and CMS

CMS : CMS-PAS-EXO-19-015

Full Run 2 dataset

Dark matter

- Pair production at LHC
 - DM candidates escape the detector (weakly interacting)
- Large missing energy distribution is the key variable



- Simplified S-channel model : Mediator that couples to SM and to Dark Sector particles
- low mass mediator searches: triggering on an associated object or performing analysis at the "trigger level"
- More complete models:
- 2HDM + a:
 - Mediator that couples to Higgs,
 SM and Dark Sector → typically:
 mediator + 2HDM in alignment limit
 - Higgs coupling to new particles





Exotic searches by ATLAS and CMS

October 5-9, 2020

Parallel talk by: Nikolina Ilic [<u>Link]</u>



ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2020-034

Full Run 2 dataset





- On-shell production of charged Higgs boson leads to a resonance enhancement of tW + MET signal
 - tW + MET IL: shape fit on E_T^{miss},
 tW+MET 2L : single-bin SR
 - Major backgrounds: ttbar and W+jets (normalized in CRs)

- Cross-section increases with the mass of the charged Higgs
 - **tj+MET IL:** shape fit on BDT score using E_T^{miss} transverse mass m_T^{lep} , number of forward jets, $\Delta \phi$ (lel, bjetl)
 - Major backgrounds: ttbar and W+jets (normalized in CRs)





No significant excess is found with respect to Standard Model predictions

- Events having jets and large missing transverse energy
- Considered models : pair-produced weakly interacting dark-matter candidates, large extra spatial dimensions, supersymmetric particles, axion-like particles, and new scalar particles in dark-energy inspired models



Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

Full Run 2 dataset

2017-10-05 10:36:30 CEST

ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2020-048



Search is performed for DM particles produced in association with a W, ZDark Higgs boson 's' decaying to VV where $VV = W^{\pm}, Z$ \overline{q} Events / region 1 Z'W, ZATLAS Preliminary Data tt+single top FIRST RESULTS! $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 139 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ \boldsymbol{q} Z+jets Diboson+VH Z'W+jets **Background Uncertainty** 10^{6 ⊾} SR and CR fit Signal Region 1µ Control Region 2ℓ Control Region $S \rightarrow V(q\overline{q})V(q\overline{q})$ decays are 10⁴ reconstructed with 'Track Assisted 10^{2} **Reclustered'** (TAR) jets technique using reclustered jets with a cone parameter 1.6 ... 1.3 d Data / pred. R=0.8 based on R=0.4 calorimeter jets 1.0 / Le-tit / 0.7 D and tracking information 0.4 Merged, [300, 500] Merged, [500,∞) Merged, [300,500] 0.4 $Merged, [500, \infty)$ Merged, $[500,\infty)$ Merged, [300, 500] Intermediate Intermediate Intermediate → VV) [pb] Dark Higgs model JHEP 1704 (2017) 143 0.35 **ATLAS** Preliminary g_=0.25, g_=1, θ=0.01 √s=13 TeV, 139 fb⁻¹ m,=200 GeV, m,=1.0 TeV 0.30 Observed 95% C.L. limit 60 ∟ Events / 20 GeV Expected 95% C.L. limit 🗕 Data ATLAS Preliminary B(s l tt + single top 0.25 √s = 13 TeV , 139 fb⁻ Expected 95% C.L. limit $\pm 2\sigma$ 50⊟ Diboson + VH SR merged Expected 95% C.L. limit \pm 1 σ s χχ) x I Z+jets ^{is} > 500 GeV 40F No significant excess Dark Higgs LO prediction W+iets 0.20 Background Uncertainty Uncertainty Pre-fit Background over the predicted 30F Dark Higgs s(VV) 0.15 m_{z'} = 1 TeV, m_s = 160 GeV background is found and 20 m_y = 200 GeV σ(pp 0.10 = 2 x 214 fb 10 sets exclusion for $m_{\rm s} >$ 0.05 160 GeV Data/SM 1.5 0.00 200 250 300 350 200 250 300 400 350 150 m_{vv} [GeV] m_s [GeV]

Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

Full Run 2 dataset





Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

Exotic searches by ATLAS and CMS

October 5-9, 2020

Search for long lived particles

Parallel talk by: Lesya Horyn [<u>Link</u>]



 Long-lived and unconventional exotic particles with striking signatures predicted by many extensions of the SM

• Examples:

- Heavy, long-lived, charged particles (R-hadrons, Sleptons) Particles can decay in the detector after few cm
 - neutralinos in GMSB, mass-degenerate gauginos, particles of an Hidden sector





Challenging from the experimental point of view:

- Non-standard reconstruction
- Displacements, timing and ionization
 - Dedicated triggers
- Non-standard background is a challenge
 - Detector noise, cosmic rays, reconstruction failures
 - Usually estimated from data

Search for long lived particles

- Distinctive topology: pair of jets originating at a secondary vertex
- Models targeted : LLP decaying to q-qbar, Exotic decays of Higgs: gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow 2S, S \rightarrow qq (c $\tau \sim 1$ mm to 3mm)



CMS excludes top squark masses up to 1.6 TeV for $c\tau$ between 3 and 340 mm





CMS : <u>CMS-PAS-EXO-19-021</u> Full Run 2 dataset

October 5-9, 2020

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{CMS} \\ Preliminary \\ \mathbf{Jet-Jet model} \\ \mathbf{Jet-Jet model} \\ \mathbf{Multijet MC} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 3 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 30 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 300 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 300 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 300 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 300 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 300 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 300 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 300 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 400 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 400 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 400 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 400 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 400 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 400 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 400 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 400 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 300 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 400 \text{ mm} \\ \mathbf{m_x} = 400 \text{ mm}$

Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

GBDT score

Exotic searches by ATLAS and CMS

9, 2020

21/23

Search for LFV Z

 $m_{\rm T}(au, E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})$ [GeV] 00 07 07 07

140

80

60

ATLAS

¹²⁰ √s = 13 TeV

Simulation Preliminary



 $\tau_{\rm had-vis}$

- Neutrino oscillations experiments have demonstrated that Lepton Flavour Conservation is violated in nature
- Searching Z boson decaying into a τ lepton and another lepton of different flavour (e or μ) with opposite charge

E^{miss} [GeV]

 $\overset{,}{u}_{1}^{(\iota)}(\iota)$

80

60

ATLAS

20

40

60

80

100

120

 $m_{\rm T}(\mu, E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})$ [GeV]

140

Preliminary

√s = 13 TeV, 139 fb⁻¹

0.006

0.005

0.004

0.003

0.002

Signal $Z \rightarrow \mu \tau$



Full Run 2 dataset





: superseding the otherwise best limits set by the LEP experiments :

	Observed (expected) upp	per limit on $\mathcal{B}(Z o \ell au)$ [×10 ⁻⁶
Experiment, polarisation assumption	e au	μau
ATLAS Run 2, unpolarised τ	8.1 (8.1)	9.9(6.3)
ATLAS Run 2, left-handed τ	8.2(8.6)	9.5(6.7)
ATLAS Run 2, right-handed τ	7.8(7.6)	10(5.8)
ATLAS Run 1, unpolarised τ [53]		17 (26)
ATLAS Run 1 and Run 2, unpolarised τ		9.5(6.1)
LEP OPAL, unpolarised τ [10]	9.8	17
LEP DELPHI, unpolarised τ [11]	22	12

Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

Exotic searches by ATLAS and CMS

NEW

Events with jet $\rightarrow \tau_{had-vis}$ fakes

0.0012

0.001

0.0008

0.0006

0.0004

0.0002

October 5-9, 2020

Conclusion



- Searching for exotics is both challenging and exciting, with developing new techniques and considering possible final states
- With increasing efficiency with using increased analysis techniques and improved object performance, sensitivity is increased leading to improved exclusion limits

ATLAS Preliminary

• Many full run-2 results are still to come. Stay tuned!

Sta	atus: May 2020						$\int \mathcal{L} dt = (3.2 - 139) \text{ fb}^{-1}$	$\sqrt{s} = 8, 13 \text{ TeV}$
	Model	<i>ℓ</i> ,γ	Jets†	$\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	∫£ dt[fb	b ⁻¹] Limit	5	Reference
Extra dimensions	$\begin{array}{l} \text{ADD } G_{KK} + g/q \\ \text{ADD non-resonant } \gamma\gamma \\ \text{ADD OBH} \\ \text{ADD QBH} \\ \text{ADD BH high } \Sigma_{PT} \\ \text{ADD BH hullight} \\ \text{RS1} G_{KK} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \\ \text{Bulk } \text{RS} G_{KK} \rightarrow WW/ZZ \\ \text{Bulk } \text{RS} g_{KK} \rightarrow WV \rightarrow \ell\gamma qq \\ \text{Bulk } \text{RS} g_{KK} \rightarrow tt \\ 2UED / RP \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \gamma \\ \hline \\ e \ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \gamma \\ \hline \\ rulti-chann \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1-4j\\ -\\ 2j\\ \geq 2j\\ =3j\\ -\\ el\\ 2j/1J\\ \geq 1b,\geq 1J\!/\\ \geq 2b,\geq 3 \end{array}$	Yes - - - - Yes 2j Yes j Yes	36.1 36.7 37.0 3.2 3.6 36.7 36.1 139 36.1 36.1	Mo Mo Ms Mo Max Mo Max Mo Georemass 4.1 TeV Georemass 2.3 TeV Georemass 2.0 TeV Box mass 3.8 TeV Kk mass 1.8 TeV	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	1711.03301 1707.04147 1703.09127 1606.02265 1512.02586 1707.04147 1808.02280 2004.14636 1804.10823 1803.09678
Gauge bosons	$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{SSM}\; Z' \to \ell\ell \\ \mathrm{SSM}\; Z' \to \tau\tau \\ \mathrm{Leptophobic}\; Z' \to tt \\ \mathrm{Leptophobic}\; Z' \to tt \\ \mathrm{SSM}\; W' \to \tau \\ \mathrm{SSM}\; W' \to \psi \\ \mathrm{VT}\; W' \to WZ \to \ell vqq \model \\ \mathrm{HVT}\; W' \to WW \to qqq \model \\ \mathrm{HVT}\; V' \to WH \to qqq \model \\ \mathrm{HVT}\; V' \to WH \to dqq \\ \mathrm{HVT}\; W' \to WH \model \\ \mathrm{LRSM}\; W_R \to tb \\ \mathrm{LRSM}\; W_R \to \mu N_R \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \tau \\ - \\ 0 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ \tau \\ B \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ B \\ 0 \ e, \mu \\ multi-chann \\ 0 \ e, \mu \\ multi-chann \\ 2 \ \mu \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 2b \\ \geq 1b, \geq 2 \\ - \\ 2j/1J \\ 2J \\ el \\ \geq 1b, \geq 2 \\ el \\ 1J \end{array}$	– – Yes Yes Yes J	139 36.1 139 36.1 139 36.1 139 36.1 139 36.1 139 36.1 80	Z' mass 5.1 Tel Z' mass 2.42 TeV Z' mass 2.1 TeV Z' mass 2.1 TeV Z' mass 4.1 TeV W' mass 6.0 W' mass 3.7 TeV W' mass 3.3 TeV V' mass 3.8 TeV V' mass 3.2 TeV W' mass 3.2 TeV Wr mass 3.2 TeV Wr mass 5.0 TeV	Trev $ \begin{bmatrix} r/m = 1.2\% \\ s_V = 3 \\ s_V = 3 \\ s_V = 3 \\ s_V = 3 f_V = 3 $ $ v = m(N_R) = 0.5 \text{ TeV}, g_L = g_R $	1903.06248 1709.07242 1805.09299 2005.05138 1906.05609 1801.06992 2004.14386 1906.05689 1712.05518 CCENLEP-2020-073 1807.10473 1904.12679
CI	Cl qqqq Cl ℓℓqq Cl tttt		2 j ≥1 b, ≥1 j	– – Yes	37.0 139 36.1	Λ Λ Λ 2.57 TeV	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline & 21.8 \text{ TeV} & \eta_{LL} \\ \hline & 35.8 \text{ TeV} \\ \hline & C_{4t} = 4\pi \end{array} \qquad \eta_{Ll} \\ \end{array}$	1703.09127 CERN-EP-2020-066 1811.02305
MQ	Axial-vector mediator (Dirac DM) Colored scalar mediator (Dirac D $VV_{\chi\chi}$ EFT (Dirac DM) Scalar reson. $\phi \rightarrow t\chi$ (Dirac DM)	0 e, μ M) 0 e, μ 0 e, μ 0-1 e, μ	$\begin{array}{c} 1-4 \ j \\ 1-4 \ j \\ 1 \ J, \leq 1 \ j \\ 1 \ b, \ 01 \ J \end{array}$	Yes Yes Yes Yes	36.1 36.1 3.2 36.1	m _{med} 1.55 TeV m _{med} 1.67 TeV M. 700 GeV m _p 3.4 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} g_q = 0.25, g_{\chi} = 1.0, m(\chi) = 1 \mathrm{GeV} \\ g = 1.0, m(\chi) = 1 \mathrm{GeV} \\ m(\chi) < 150 \mathrm{GeV} \\ y = 0.4, \lambda = 0.2, m(\chi) = 10 \mathrm{GeV} \end{array}$	1711.03301 1711.03301 1608.02372 V 1812.09743
Ŋ	Scalar LQ 1 st gen Scalar LQ 2 nd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen	1,2 e 1,2 μ 2 τ 0-1 e, μ	≥ 2 j ≥ 2 j 2 b 2 b	Yes Yes - Yes	36.1 36.1 36.1 36.1	LQ mass 1.4 TeV LQ mass 1.56 TeV LQ mass 1.03 TeV LQ mass 970 GeV	$\begin{split} \beta &= 1 \\ \beta &= 1 \\ \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{LQ}_3^{\prime\prime} \rightarrow b\tau) &= 1 \\ \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{LQ}_3^{\prime\prime} \rightarrow t\tau) &= 0 \end{split}$	1902.00377 1902.00377 1902.08103 1902.08103
Heavy quarks	$ \begin{array}{l} VLQ \ TT \rightarrow Ht/Zt/Wb + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Wt/Zb + X \\ VLQ \ T_{5/3} \ T_{5/3} \ T_{5/3} \rightarrow Wt + X \\ VLQ \ Y \rightarrow Wb + X \\ VLQ \ B \rightarrow Hb + X \\ VLQ \ QQ \rightarrow WqWq \end{array} $	multi-chann multi-chann $2(SS)/\ge 3 e$, $1 e, \mu$ $0 e, \mu, 2 \gamma$ $1 e, \mu$	el el μ ≥1 b, ≥1 j ≥ 1 b, ≥ 1 ≥ 1 b, ≥ 1 ≥ 4 j	Yes Yes Yes Yes	36.1 36.1 36.1 36.1 79.8 20.3	T mass 1.37 TeV B mass 1.34 TeV T _{3/3} mass 1.64 TeV Y mass 1.65 TeV B mass 1.21 TeV Q mass 690 GeV	SU(2) doublet SU(2) doublet $\Re(T_{S_3} \rightarrow Wb) = 1, c(T_{S_3}Wt) =$ $\Re(Y \rightarrow Wb) = 1, c_R(Wb) = 1$ $\kappa_B = 0.5$	1808.02343 1808.02343 1807.11883 1812.07343 ATLAS-CONF-2018-024 1509.04261
Excited fermions	Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow qg$ Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow bg$ Excited lepton ℓ^* Excited lepton γ^*	- 1 γ - 3 e,μ 3 e,μ,τ	2j 1j 1b,1j –		139 36.7 36.1 20.3 20.3	q' mass 6, q' mass 5.3 Te b' mass 2.6 TeV (r' mass 3.0 TeV y' mass 1.6 TeV	A TeV only u^* and d^* , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ aV only u^* and d^* , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ $\Lambda = 3.0 \text{ TeV}$ $\Lambda = 1.6 \text{ TeV}$	1910.08447 1709.10440 1805.09299 1411.2921 1411.2921
Other	Type III Seesaw LRSM Majorana v Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell \ell$ Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell \tau$ Multi-charged particles Magnetic monopoles	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \mu \\ 2,3,4 \ e, \mu \ (S \\ 3 \ e, \mu, \tau \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ -$	≥ 2 j 2 j S) - - - - - -	Yes - - - 3 TeV ata	79.8 36.1 36.1 20.3 36.1 34.4	№ mass 560 GeV 3.2 TeV Nx mass 870 GeV 3.2 TeV H** mass 400 GeV 1.22 TeV monopole mass 1.22 TeV 1.23 TeV 1 10 ⁻¹ 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} m(W_{R}) = 4.1 \text{ TeV}, g_{L} = g_{R} \\ \text{DY production} \\ \text{DY production}, \mathcal{B}(H^{\pm\pm}_{2} \rightarrow \langle r \rangle = \\ \text{DY production}, q = 5e \\ \text{DY production}, g = 1g_{D}, \text{spin 1} \\ 10 \\ \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2018-020 1809.11105 1710.09748 1 1411.2921 1812.03673 /2 1905.10130
	pa		iun u	MCG			Mass scale [Te	vj



†Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter j (J).

Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95% CL Upper Exclusion Limits

Conclusion



- Searching for exotics is both challenging and exciting, with developing new techniques and considering possible final states
- With increasing efficiency with using increased analysis techniques and improved object performance, sensitivity is increased leading to improved exclusion limits
- Many full run-2 results are still to come. Stay tuned!



+Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter i (J).

Additional Slides

Search for leptoquarks



 events with two electrons or two muons and two or more jets, including jets identified as arising from the fragmentation of c - or b-quarks



Leptoquarks with masses below 1.8 TeV and 1.7 TeV are excluded in the electron and muon channels



Data

ATLAS

10°



A branching ratio into a charged lepton and a quark is assumed to be 100%, with minimal dependence on the quark flavor

Obs 95% CL limit

Exp 95% CL limit

 $\sigma(pp \rightarrow LQLQ)$ theory

 $m_{\rm LQ}\,[{
m GeV}]$

± 1σ

± 2σ



Amandeep Kaur (CMS) (ICPPA-2020)

Di-Jet resonance search

ATLAS : JHEP03(2020)145

Full Run 2 dataset



- Inclusive result with events with 1 or 2 b-jets
- Jets close in Δy (to reject background)
- Background from sliding-window fit to data
- Exclusions on several benchmarks: e.g. excited quarks, chiral excitation of the W, leptophobic Z' DM mediator
- For reinterpretation: 95% CL cross-section limits on gaussian-shaped signals of various widths (up to 15%) as a function of the mass



