Inverse gluon emission in dilepton production at CMS LHC

V.A. Zykunov (JINR, GSU)

ICPPA–2024 VII International Conference on Particle Physics and Astrophysics Moscow, 22–25 October, 2024

1/23

Introduction

Despite the fact that the Standard Model (SM) keeps the status of consistent and experimentally confirmed theory, the search of New Physics

- \star the supersymmetry,
- 🖈 🛛 M-theory,
- 🖈 🛛 DM-particles,
- 🖈 🛛 axions,
- \star feebly interacting particles,
- \star extra spatial dimensions,
- 🖈 🛛 extra neutral gauge bosons, etc.

is continued.

One of powerful tool in the modern experiments at LHC is the investigation of **dilepton production**

$$pp \to \ell^+ \ell^- X, \quad \ell = \mu, e$$
 (1)

at large invariant mass of lepton pair: $M \ge 1$ TeV.

The measured observable quantities:

- \star differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dM}$,
- \star double-differential cross section $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dMdy}$,
- \star forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB}

are consistent with the SM predictions at

$$\sqrt{S}$$
 = 7–8 TeV (19.7 fb⁻¹) for $M \le 2$ TeV,
 \sqrt{S} = 13 TeV (85 fb⁻¹) for $M \le 3$ TeV

 $(\sqrt{S} - \text{total energy in c.m.s. of hadrons}, M - \text{dilepton } \ell^+ \ell^- \text{ invariant mass}, y - \text{dilepton rapidity})$

- \star NNLO RCs are taken into account by using of FEWZ,
- \star NNLO PDFs are CT10 NNLO and NNPDF2.1.

Four mechanisms of dilepton production



Figure 1: The Drell–Yan process, the photon-photon fusion, inverse gluon or γ emission with quark, inverse γ emission with muon.

Notations, invariants, coupling constants

The standard set of **Mandelstam invariants** for the partonic elastic scattering (with $p_1 + p_2 = p_3 + p_4$):

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2, \quad t = (p_1 - p_3)^2, \quad u = (p_2 - p_3)^2.$$
 (2)

The propagator for *j*-boson depends on its mass m_j and width Γ_j :

$$D_j(q) = \frac{1}{q^2 - m_j^2 + im_j\Gamma_j}, \quad j = \gamma, Z.$$
(3)

Suitable combinations of coupling constants are:

$$\lambda_{f+}^{i,j} = v_f^i v_f^j + a_f^i a_f^j, \quad \lambda_{f-}^{i,j} = v_f^i a_f^j + a_f^i v_f^j,$$
(4)

$$v_f^{\gamma} = -Q_f, \ a_f^{\gamma} = 0, \ v_f^Z = \frac{I_f^3 - 2s_W^2 Q_f}{2s_W c_W}, \ a_f^Z = \frac{I_f^3}{2s_W c_W}.$$

$q\bar{q}$ -annihilation Born: diagrams and cross sections



Figure 2: Feynman diagrams of $q\bar{q}(\bar{q}q) \rightarrow \ell^- \ell^+$ process at Born level.

Partonic level:

$$d\sigma_0^{q\bar{q}} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{s^2} \sum_{i,j=\gamma,Z} D_i D_j^* \sum_{\chi=+,-} \lambda_{q_\chi}^{i,j} \lambda_{\ell_\chi}^{i,j} (t^2 + \chi u^2) dt.$$
(5)

$\gamma\gamma\text{-}{\rm fusion}$ Born: diagrams and cross sections



Figure 3: Feynman diagrams of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^-\ell^+$ process at Born level.

Partonic level:

$$d\sigma_0^{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{s^2} \frac{t^2 + u^2}{tu} dt.$$
 (6)

Hadronic level ($C = \cos \theta$):

$$\frac{d^{3}\sigma_{0}^{h}}{dMdyd\mathcal{C}} = 8\pi\alpha^{2}f_{\gamma}^{A}(x_{1})f_{\gamma}^{B}(x_{2})\frac{t^{2}+u^{2}}{SM^{5}(1-\mathcal{C}^{2})}\Theta.$$
 (7)

Inverse photon/gluon emission diagrams



Figure 4: Feynman diagrams of $\gamma q(gq) \rightarrow \ell^- \ell^+ q$ process.

Partonic level:

$$d\sigma_{\gamma q} = \frac{1}{2^6 \pi^5 s} \sum_{a,b} \overline{\sum_{\text{pol}}} \mathcal{M}^a_{\gamma q} (\mathcal{M}^b_{\gamma q})^+ d\Phi_3.$$
(8)

Hadronic level:

$$d\sigma_{\gamma q}^{\text{ex}} = \sum_{q} \sum_{r_1, r_2} f_{\gamma}^{r_1, A}(x_1, Q^2) dx_1 f_q^{r_2, B}(x_2, Q^2) dx_2 \, d\sigma_{\gamma q}. \tag{9}$$

Some details for hadronic cross section

After using quark-parton model rules and some algebra:

$$d\sigma_{\gamma q}^{\text{ex}} = \frac{\alpha^3 J_x}{\pi^2 s} \sum_q Q_q^2 f_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{A}}(x_1) f_q^{\mathcal{B}}(x_2) \left[V_{q\ell}(q_1) S_V^{\gamma q} + A_{q\ell}(q_1) S_A^{\gamma q} \right] d\Phi_3 \, dM dy,$$
(10)

where vector and axial combinations are factorized as following:

$$V_{q\ell}(q_1) = \sum_{a,b=\gamma,Z} \lambda_{qV}^{ab} \lambda_{\ell V}^{ab} D_a(q_1) D_b^*(q_1),$$

$$A_{q\ell}(q_1) = \sum_{a,b=\gamma,Z} \lambda_{qA}^{ab} \lambda_{\ell A}^{ab} D_a(q_1) D_b^*(q_1).$$
(11)

For Jacobian of transition to experimental variables we have:

$$dx_1 dx_2 = |J_x| dM dy, \quad J_x = \frac{2M(E_5 + \sqrt{E_5^2 + M^2})}{S\sqrt{E_5^2 + M^2}}.$$
 (12)



Figure 5: Configuration of final 3-vectors

 $\cos\theta_{35} = \cos\theta_3 \cos\theta_5 + \sin\theta_3 \sin\theta_5 \cos\varphi_5.$

$$\Xi_3 = \frac{1}{2(\mathcal{B}^2 - \mathcal{C}^2)} \Big(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{C}^2) + \mathcal{B}\sqrt{(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{C}^2)^2 + 4m_3^2(\mathcal{B}^2 - \mathcal{C}^2)} \Big),$$

V.A. Zykunov

Quark mass singularity

To get physical cross section we should subtract Quark mass Singularity (QS) term

$$d\sigma_{\gamma q}^{\rm IGE} = d\sigma_{\gamma q}^{\rm ex} - d\sigma_{\gamma q}^{\rm QS}, \qquad (13)$$

where

$$d\sigma_{\gamma q}^{\rm QS} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \sum_{q} Q_q^2 \log \frac{M^2}{m_q^2} \int_0^1 d\eta \, f_{\gamma}^{\mathcal{A}}(x_1) f_q^{\mathcal{B}}(x_2) \, P_{\gamma q}(\eta) \, J_\eta \, d\sigma_{\bar{q}q}^0(\eta) J_{\chi} \, d\mathcal{M} dy.$$

$$\tag{14}$$

To obtain this nontrivial QS-term we apply leading logarithmic approximation working at point

$$p_5 = (1 - \eta)p_1.$$
 (15)

As sequence in cross section (14) we get the jacobian and splittig function (Altarelli & Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B. - 1977):

$$J_{\eta} = \frac{2\eta(1+\eta)}{(1+\eta+(1-\eta)\cos\theta_3)^2}, \quad P_{\gamma q}(\eta) = (1-\eta)^2 + \eta^2.$$
(16)

It is time to show some numbers. Firstly, main features of EWK and QCD NLO RCs calculation are following:

- The notations, the Feynman rules are inspired by review of **M. Böhm**, **H. Spiesberger, and W. Hollik, 1986**,
- \star the t'Hooft–Feynman gauge,
- \star on-mass renormalization scheme ($\alpha, \alpha_s, m_W, m_Z, m_H$ and the fermion masses as independent parameters),
- QCD result is obtained from QED one by substitution:

$$Q_q^2 \alpha \to \sum_{s=1}^{N^2 - 1} t^s t^s \alpha_s = \frac{N^2 - 1}{2N} I \alpha_s \to \frac{4}{3} \alpha_s, \tag{17}$$

here $2t^a$ – Gell-Mann matrices, and N = 3,

• \star ultrarelativistic approximation where it is possible.

Some modern codes for NLO and NNLO RCs for hadronic colliders (in the ABC order)

- 🛧 DYNNLO (S. Catani, L. Cieri, G. Ferrera et al.)
- 🛧 FEWZ (R. Gavin, Y. Li, F. Petriello, S. Quackenbush)
- 🛧 HORACE (C.Carloni Calame, G.Montagna, et al.)
- 🖈 MC@NLO (S. Frixione, F. Stoeckli, P. Torrielli et al.)
- 🖈 PHOTOS (N. Davidson, T. Przedzinski, Z. Was et al.)
- 🖈 POWHEG (L. Barze, G. Montagna, P. Nason et al.)
- 🖈 RADY (S. Dittmaier, A. Huss, C. Schwinn et al.)
- \star READY (V. Zykunov, RDMS CMS)
- 🖈 MCSANC (Dubna: A. Andonov, A. Arbuzov, D. Bardin et al.)
- ★ WINHAC (W. Placzek, S. Jadach, M. W. Krasny et al.)
- 🖈 ZGRAD (U. Baur, W. Hollik, D. Wackeroth et al.)

In the following the scale of radiative effects to dilepton production will be discussed using FORTRAN program **READY**: (Radiative corrEctions to IArge invariant mass Drell-Yan process).

We used the following set of prescriptions:

- \star standard PDG set of SM input electroweak parameters,
- \star "effective" quark masses ($\Delta \alpha^{(5)}_{had}(m_Z^2) = 0.0276$),
- \star 5 active flavors of quarks in proton,
- \star CTEQ, CT10, and MHHT14 sets of PDFs,
- \star choice for PDFs: $Q = M_{sc} = M$.

We impose the experimental restriction conditions

• \star on the detected lepton angle $-\zeta^* \leq \cos \theta \leq \zeta^*$ (or on the rapidity $|y(l)| \leq y(l)^*$); for CMS detector the cut values of ζ^* (or $y(l)^*$) are determined as

$$\zeta^* pprox 0.986614$$
 (or $y(I)^* = 2.5),$

- \star the second standard CMS restriction $p_T(I) \ge 20$ GeV,
- ★ the "bare" setup for muon identification requirements (no smearing, no recombination of muon and photon/gluon).

Forward-backward asymmetry $A_{\rm FB}$ is important observable in dilepton production with a dual nature – electroweak and kinematical:

$$A_{\rm FB} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm F}^h - \sigma_{\rm B}^h}{\sigma_{\rm F}^h + \sigma_{\rm B}^h},\tag{18}$$

where according J. Collins & D. Soper (1977):

- $\sigma_{\rm F}^{h}$ is "forward" cross section (cos $\theta^* > 0$),
- $\sigma_{\rm B}^{h}$ is "backward" cross section (cos $\theta^* < 0$).

In the Collins–Soper system $\cos \theta^*$ looks like:

$$\cos\theta^* = \operatorname{sgn}[x_2(t+u_1) - x_1(t_1+u)] \frac{tt_1 - uu_1}{M\sqrt{s(u+t_1)(u_1+t)}}$$

Forward, Backward (and Experimental) borders

For the case of nonradiative kinematics the $\cos \theta^*$ has especially simple view:

$$\cos \theta^* = \operatorname{sgn}[x_1 - x_2] \frac{u - t}{s} = \operatorname{sgn}[e^y - e^{-y}] \frac{(1 + \mathcal{C})e^{-y} - (1 - \mathcal{C})e^y}{(1 + \mathcal{C})e^{-y} + (1 - \mathcal{C})e^y}.$$

Solving $\cos \theta^* = 0$ we get **two conditions** for border dividing the regions of $\sigma_{\rm F}^h$ and $\sigma_{\rm B}^h$:

$$y = 0, \quad \mathcal{C} \equiv \cos \theta = \operatorname{th} y.$$

The CMS experimental condition $|\cos \theta| < \zeta^*$ is trivial but the second one $|\cos \alpha| < \zeta^*$ is rather sophisticated:

$$\cos\left(\arccos\frac{\cos\theta - \operatorname{th} y}{r} + \arcsin\frac{\sin\theta \operatorname{th} y}{r}\right) = \pm \xi^*,$$

where

$$r = \sqrt{1 - 2\cos\theta \,\mathrm{th}\,y + \mathrm{th}^2\,y}.$$

Forward, Backward (and Experimental) regions



Figure 6: Left – Forward, Backward and CMS regions in y and $\cos\theta$ variables (**borders are**: y = 0, $\cos\theta = \text{th } y$, $\cos\theta = \pm \zeta^*$, and $\cos\alpha = \pm \zeta^*$, where $\zeta^* \approx 0.9866$),

right – the points sampled by Monte-Carlo generator of VEGAS **for Backward CMS region**.

Additive relative corrections to $A_{\rm FB}$

Let 0 denotes the Born DY contribution, and for adiitional effect we use c:

c = NLO EW DY, NLO QCD DY, NLO $\gamma\gamma$, IGE.

Corrected forward-backward asymmetry is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\rm FB}^{c} &= \frac{\sigma_{\rm F}^{0} + \sum_{c} \sigma_{\rm F}^{c} - \sigma_{\rm B}^{0} - \sum_{c} \sigma_{\rm E}^{c}}{\sigma_{\rm F}^{0} + \sum_{c} \sigma_{\rm F}^{c} + \sigma_{\rm B}^{0} + \sum_{c} \sigma_{\rm E}^{c}} = \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{\rm F}^{0} - \sigma_{\rm B}^{0}}{\sigma_{\rm F}^{0} + \sigma_{\rm B}^{0}} \times \frac{1 + \sum_{c} \delta_{\rm F}^{c}}{1 + \sum_{c} \delta_{\rm F}^{c}} = \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{\rm FB}^{0} \times \frac{1 + \sum_{c} \delta_{\rm F}^{c}}{1 + \sum_{c} \delta_{\rm F}^{c}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta^{\mathbf{c}}_{+} = \frac{\sigma^{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathrm{F}} + \sigma^{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\sigma^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathrm{F}} + \sigma^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathrm{B}}}, \quad \delta^{\mathbf{c}}_{-} = \frac{\sigma^{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathrm{F}} - \sigma^{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\sigma^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathrm{F}} - \sigma^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathrm{B}}}.$$

(19)

n	M_1 , TeV	M_2 , TeV	δ^{c}_{+}			δ_{-}^{c}		
			δ^{ex}_+	δ_{\pm}^{LL}	$\delta^{ m IGE}_+$	δ_{-}^{ex}	δ_{-}^{LL}	$\delta_{-}^{ m IGE}$
-3	0.106	0.12	8.139	8.070	-0.383	5.257	5.128	-0.253
-2			0.//1 5 303	0.094 5 322	-0.370	4.375	4.199	-0.248
0			4.019	3.951	-0.376 -0.364	2.590	2.426	-0.230 -0.248
-3	0.51	0.60	4.185	4.101	-0.244	3.710	3.632	-0.255
-2			3.544	3.458	-0.243	3.130	3.057	-0.259
-1			2.902	2.816	-0.242	2.560	2.483	-0.254
0			2.259	2.173	-0.240	1.984	1.908	-0.254
-3	1.0	1.2	2.812	2.757	-0.206	2.829	2.791	-0.236
-2			2.392	2.337	-0.207	2.399	2.364	-0.240
-1			1.972	1.918	-0.206	1.969	1.936	-0.243
0			1.553	1.498	-0.205	1.545	1.509	-0.240
-3	3.0	6.5	1.240	1.219	-0.144	1.505	1.499	-0.184
-2			1.059	1.039	-0.144	1.284	1.280	-0.185
-1			0.880	0.859	-0.144	1.064	1.060	-0.186
0			0.699	0.679	-0.144	0.843	0.840	-0.186

 $m_q = 10^n m_u, \ n = (-3, -2, -1, 0), \ m_u = 0.06983 \text{ GeV}$

Additive relative corrections for Run3 of CMS LHC



Figure 7: Additive relative corrections (left – "plus", right – "minus") for Run3 of CMS LHC ($\mu^+\mu^-$ -production, |y| < 2.5).

Net effect for $A_{\rm FB}$ (Run3 of CMS LHC)



Figure 8: Total relative corrections (left) and $A_{\rm FB}$ (right) for Run3 of CMS LHC ($\mu^+\mu^-$ -production, |y| < 2.5).

Conclusions & Acknowledgement

- \star The Inverse Gluon Emission in dilepton production has been studied. It has been ascertained that the considered in Run 3 region IGE effect changes the cross sections and $A_{\rm FB}$ significantly.
- **★** The net result (NLO EW DY + NLO QCD DY [+ IGE] + NLO $\gamma\gamma$ -fusion) to $A_{\rm FB}$ has been studied using additive relative corrections technics.
- ★ I would like to thank the **RDMS CMS group** members for the stimulating discussions and **CERN (CMS Group)** for warm hospitality during my visits.
- ★ This work was supported by the **Convergence-2025** Research Program of Republic of Belarus (Microscopic World and Universe Subprogram).
- \star The numerical calcualtion was performed partically by "HybriLIT" of the Laboratory of Information Technologies of JINR.